



DOE Award No.: DE-FE0023919

Quarterly Research Performance Progress Report (Period Ending 3/31/2017)

Deepwater Methane Hydrate Characterization and Scientific Assessment

Project Period (10/1/2016-9/30/2020)

Submitted by:

Peter B. Flemings

A handwritten signature in black ink, reading 'Peter B. Flemings', is written over a horizontal line.

Signature

The University of Texas at Austin

DUNS #: 170230239

101 East 27th Street, Suite 4.300

Austin, TX 78712-1500

Email: pflemings@jsg.utexas.edu

Phone number: (512) 475-8738

Prepared for:

United States Department of Energy

National Energy Technology Laboratory

June 19, 2017



U.S. DEPARTMENT OF
ENERGY

**NATIONAL ENERGY
TECHNOLOGY LABORATORY**

Office of Fossil Energy

DISCLAIMER

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.

1 ACCOMPLISHMENTS

1.1 WHAT ARE THE MAJOR GOALS OF THE PROJECT?

The goals of this project are to plan and execute a state of the art field program in the Gulf of Mexico to characterize methane hydrates. The project team will acquire conventional core, pressure core, and downhole logs, and perform in situ testing and measure physical properties in methane hydrate reservoirs in the Gulf of Mexico (GOM) to meet this goal.

1.1.1 Previous Phase Milestones

Milestone Description	Status
M1A: Project Management Plan	Complete: 03/18/2015
M1B: Project Kick-off Meeting	Complete: 12/11/2014
M1C: Site Location and Ranking Report	Complete: 9/30/2015
M1D: Preliminary Field Program Operational Plan Report	Complete: 9/30/2015
M1E: Updated CPP Proposal Submitted	Complete: 10/1/2015
M1F: Demonstration of a viable PCS Tool	Complete: 9/30/2015

Table 1: Milestones BP1

1.1.2 Current Phase Milestones

Milestone Description	Status	Verification Method	Comments
M1G: Document results of BP1/Phase 1 Activities	Complete: Jan 2016 (BP2 Q2)	Phase 1 Report	Submitted
M2A: Complete Updated CPP Proposal Submitted	Complete: Nov 2015 (BP3, Q1)	Quarterly Report	Update given in Y2Q1 report
M2B: Scheduling of Hydrate Drilling Leg by IODP	Expected date: May 2017 (BP2, Q7)	Report status immediately to DOE PM	IODP EPSP Scheduled for May 2, 2017.
M2C: Demonstration of a viable PCS tool for hydrate drilling through completion of land-based testing	Complete: Dec 2015 (BP2, Q5)	PCTB Land Test Report, in Quarterly Report	Update given in Y2Q1 report
M2D: Demonstration of a viable PCS tool for hydrate drilling through completion of a deep water marine field test	Expected date: May 2017 (BP2, Y2)	Marine Field Test Report, in Quarterly Report	
M2E: Complete Refined Field Program Operation Plan	Expected date: Sept 2017 (BP2, Q8)	Quarterly Report	

Table 2: Milestones BP2

1.1.3 Future Phase Milestones

Milestone Description	Planned Completion	Verification Method
M2F: Document results of BP2/Phase 2 Activities	12/29/2017 (BP3A, Q1)	Phase 2 Report
M3A: Field Program Operational Plan report	12/18/2018 (BP3A, Q5)	Quarterly Report
M3B: Completion of Field Program Permit	12/9/2018 (BP3A, Q5)	Quarterly Report
M3C: Completion of Hazards Analysis	10/9/2018 (BP3A, Q5)	Field Program Hazards Report, in Quarterly Report
M3D: Demonstration of a viable PCS tool for hydrate drilling through completion of field operations	4/4/2019 (BP3A, Q7)	Quarterly Report
M3E: Complete IODP Preliminary Expedition Report	6/27/2019 (BP3A, Q7)	Send directly to DOE PM
M3F: Complete Project Sample and Data Distribution Plan	8/8/2019 (BP3A, Q8)	Send directly to DOE PM
M3G: Initiate Expedition Scientific Results Volume	4/3/2020 (BP3B, Q3)	Send directly to DOE PM
M3H: Complete IODP Proceedings Expedition Volume	8/24/2020 (BP3B, Q4)	Send directly to DOE PM

Table 3: Milestones BP3A, and BP3B

1.2 WHAT WAS ACCOMPLISHED UNDER THESE GOALS?

1.2.1 PREVIOUS – BUDGET PERIOD 1

Task	Status	Quarterly Report with Task Information
Task 2.0 Site Analysis and Selection	Complete	Y1Q1, Y1Q2, Y1Q3, Y1Q4
Task 3.0 Develop Pre - Expedition Drilling/Logging/Coring/Sampling Operational Plan	Complete	Y1Q3, Y1Q4
Task 4.0 Complete and Update IODP CPP Proposal	Complete	Y1Q2, Y1Q3, Y1Q4
Task 5.0 Pressure Coring and Core Analysis System Modification and Testing	Complete	Y1Q2, Y1Q3, Y1Q4

Table 4: BP1 Completed Tasks

1.2.2 CURRENT – BUDGET PERIOD 2

TASK 1.0 - Project Management and Planning

(Status: On Schedule)

Activity this period:

Objectives and Achievements

Objective 1: Assemble teams according to project needs.

- Recruited for new project manager.
 - Conducted interviews
 - Made offers
 - Hired new project manager

Objective 2: Coordinate the overall scientific progress, administration and finances of the project

- Managed current tasks see details in document below
- Monitored costs reported status and changes to DOE project manager
- Negotiated a cost increase to cover the expenses of the Marine Test. Detailed planning resulted in significant increases in expenditures, particularly in ‘Plug and Abandon’ and mud programs. We also planned for dockside analysis of the cores because we realized that we could not accomplish this in the available rig time.

Objective 3: Communicate with project team and sponsors

- Organized regular team meetings
- Held face-to-face meeting with Geotek in Austin
- Managed SharePoint sites, email list, and archive/website
- Held regular pre-mobilization meetings for deep water Marine Test.

Objective 4: Coordinate and supervise all subcontractors and service agreements to realize deliverables and milestones according to the work plan

- Actively managed subcontractors and service agreements.
- Completed negotiations on SOW and budget for University of New Hampshire subcontract.
- Held HAZID (Hazard Identification Study) & DWOP (Drill Well on Paper) Workshop at Helix Offices to prepare for Marine Test. (March 6 and 7).

Objective 5: Compare identified risks with project risks to ensure all risks are identified and monitored. Communicate risks and possible outcomes to project team and stakeholders.

- Actively monitored project risks and as needed reported to project team and stakeholders.

TASK 6.0 - Technical and Operational Support of Complimentary Project Proposal (CPP)

(Status: On Schedule)

Apr 1, 2015:	First Submittal of CPP
May 1, 2015:	Upload data to IODP SSDB
Oct 1, 2015:	Revised Submittal of CPP
Jan 8, 2016:	Upload data to IODP SSDB
Jan 12-14, 2016:	SEP Review Meeting
Apr 1, 2016:	CPP Addendum Submittal
May 2, 2016:	Upload data to IODP SSDB
May 15, 2016:	Proponent Response Letter Submitted
Jun 21-23, 2016:	SEP Review Meeting
June 2016	Safety Review Report Submitted
July 2016	Safety Presentation PowerPoint
July 11 – 13, 2016	Environmental Protection and Safety Panel (EPSP) Meeting
March 2, 2017	Submit CPP Addendum2
March 10, 2017	Upload Revised Site Survey Data
April 2017	Submit EPSP Safety Review Report V2
May 3, 2017	EPSP Safety Review Presentation V2
May 2017:	Scheduling of Hydrate Drilling Leg by IODP (JR Facility Board Meeting)
Spring 2019/2020:	IODP Expedition

Table 5: Timing of Complimentary Project Proposal submission

Activity this period:

- ***Tasks Accomplished:***

- We strengthened our analysis of proposed drilling locations both for the Marine Test and for the CPP Proposal.
- We held an EPSP Safety Review workshop attended by members of UT, Columbia University, Ohio State, BOEM, USGS, DOE, and a representative from the IODP EPSP.
- We compiled for each location (Mad Dog, Terrebonne, and Orca):
 - a regional geological overview
 - An analysis of all previously drilled wells.
 - A well prognosis and a hazard prediction for all proposed drill sites

- Site Safety Presentation: UT and OSU met weekly by teleconference and improved communication over site analysis.
- Submitted CPP-887 Addendum 2: We submitted to the IODP a revised Addendum summarizing our proposed drill locations and our scientific motivation for drilling each location.
- Uploaded Site Survey Data: We uploaded to the IODP site survey database information for each of our proposed wells.

TASK 7.0 – Cont'd Pressure Coring and Core Analysis System Modifications & Testing

(Status: On Schedule)

Completed Tasks:

- **Subtask 7.1: Review and Complete NEPA Requirements (PCTB Land Test)**: Submitted and received approval for PCTB Land Test NEPA Requirements Y2Q1
- **Subtask 7.2: Pressure Coring Tool with Ball (PCTB) Land Test**: *Y2Q1 report (Flemings, 2016a)*
- **Subtask 7.3: PCTB Land Test Report**: GOM² PRESSURE CORING TOOL WITH BALL VALVE (PCTB) LAND TEST INITIAL REPORT in Y2 Q1 report (Flemings, 2016a)
Appendix A: GEOTEK CORING, HYBRID PRESSURE CORING TOOL WITH BALL VALVE (PCTB) 2015 LAND TEST PROGRAM in Y2 Q2 report (Flemings, 2016b)

Activity this period:

- **Subtask 7.4: PCTB Tool Modification, Status: On Schedule**
 - All modifications to the PCTB have been completed and fully vetted in preparation for the Marine Test.
 - The activity during this period focused on preparations for the Marine Test.
 - Collaboration with the Helix Energy Solutions (the vessel operator) and Geotek Coring continues so as to ensure the rig is properly configured for the Marine Test.
 - Wireline specifications have been defined and an appropriate wireline identified for use during the Marine Test.
 - Collaboration with Schlumberger Wireline Services, Geotek Coring and Lamont Doherty Earth Observatory continues so as to ensure proper deployment of logging tools during the Marine Test.
 - Collaboration with MI Swaco and Helix Energy Solutions continues so as to ensure adequate proper drilling mud is available during the Marine Test as well as mud mixing services.

- Collaboration with Schlumberger Well Services and Helix Energy Solutions continues so as to ensure adequate proper cement is available during the Marine Test as well as cementing services.
- A mobilization and demobilization plan has been drafted and continues to be refined.

TASK 8.0 - Pressure Coring Tool with Ball (PCTB) Marine Field Test

(Status: On Schedule - Target Marine Test Dates: March 1 through May 2017)

Completed Tasks:

- **Decision Point 2: Marine Field Test Stage Gate**
 - Submitted necessary documents to meet requirements of stage gate. This authorization was granted based on documentation received to support the Marine Field Test to be conducted under Task 8.4.

Activity this period:

- **Subtask 8.1: Review and Complete NEPA Requirements** (*Status: On Schedule*)
 - Completed preparation of NEPA EQ (DOE Questionnaire). Preliminary preview by BOEM complete.
- **Subtask 8.2: Marine Field Test Detailed Drilling/Logging/Coring/Sampling Operational Plan** (*Status: On schedule*)
 - Held HAZID (Hazard Identification Study) & DWOP (Drill Well on Paper) Workshop at Helix Offices to prepare for Marine Test. (March 6 and 7).
 - Held weekly and ad hoc planning teleconferences to discuss detailed well design, deck layout, and logistics planning.
 - Reviewed winch & cable options for running & pulling coring tools. Agreed to purchase a fit-of-purpose cable to run on a rental wireline unit.
 - Further evaluated options for wireline access through the top drive.
 - Finalized detailed logistics planning for the mobilization & demobilization.
 - Finalized mud programs and plug and abandonment programs for 2 locations.
 - Refined wireline logging program.
 - Refined detailed coring program.
 - Refined plan for surveying borehole.
 - Refined shipboard and science-based program.
- **Subtask 8.3: Marine Field Test Documentation and Permitting** (*Status: Delayed*)
 - Submitted BOEM-0327 'Application for Permit to Conduct Scientific Research on the OCS', Drilling Plan, and Environmental Report.
 - Continued preparation of BSEE-0123 "Application for Permit to Drill", Drilling Prognosis, and Well Program.
 - Continued Louisiana CZM documentation.

- **Subtask 8.4: Marine Field Test of Pressure Coring System** (*Status: On schedule*)
 - Completed risk evaluation and purchased insurance through UT Office of Risk Management and insurance brokers.
 - Working with UT Legal, Office of Risk Management & Business Contracts to include “hold-harmless’ clauses in contracts with subcontracting universities and with UT-subcontractors.

- **Subtask 8.5: Marine Field Test Report** (*Status: Future Task*)
 - A preliminary structure of the Marine Test Report was finalized.

TASK 9.0 - Pressure Core Transport, Storage, and Manipulation

(Status: On Schedule)

Completed Tasks:

- **Subtask 9.1: Review and Complete NEPA Requirements (Core Storage and Manipulation)**
 - Submitted and received approval for NEPA Requirements Y2Q2.

Activity this period:

- **Subtask 9.2: Hydrate Core Transport** (*Status: On schedule*)
 - Geotek has secured approval for the use of their Overpacks for methane Hydrate transportation with the DOT. Contract with Geotek is still in place for the transport of pressure cores over land.

- **Subtask 9.3: Storage of Hydrate Pressure Cores** (*Status: Future Task*)
 - Nothing to report this period

- **Subtask 9.4: Refrigerated Container for Storage of Hydrate Pressure Cores** (*Status: On Schedule*)
 - A punch list for the remaining items to be corrected before the cold container that has been built and installed inside the Jackson School building, was created and delivered to the contractors. The container. The walk-in container will be capable of storing, moving, and monitoring the pressure cores. Storage capability includes the ability to maintain conditions necessary to keep twenty 1.2 m pressure cores for the duration of the project.

- **Subtask 9.5 – 9.7: Hydrate Core Manipulator and Cutter Tool, Hydrate Core Effective Stress Chamber, Hydrate Core Depressurization Chamber** (*Status: On Schedule*)
 - The Pressure Core Manipulator and Cutting Tool, Hydrate Core Effective Stress Chamber, and Depressurization Chamber are being assembled and tested. The UT Lab

Manager for the Pressure Core Center traveled to the UK to see the tools and be trained in using them.

- Pressure Core Manipulator and Cutting Tool
 - A smaller version (length-wise) of the Geotek PCATS.
- Hydrate Core Effective Stress Chamber
 - Chamber will couple with the Manipulator and Cutting Tool to receive samples.
 - The chamber will be capable of measuring effective stress, permeability, and extracting liquids for pore fluid analysis.
- Depressurization Chamber
 - The chamber includes a high pressure gas manifold and gas sampling equipment

TASK 10.0 - Pressure Core Analysis

(Status: On Schedule)

Continued planning for acquisition of pressure cores. Two documents one outlining the Pressure Core Analysis to be done on-board the Marine Test Rig (Marine Test Science On-Board Plan) and the other outlining the details of the Pressure and Routine Core analysis to be done on-shore (Marine Test Science On-Shore Plan) were developed. Several versions have been released. The technical advisory council met to provide guidance on the analysis and distribution of routine and pressure cores. A review meeting was conducted and the council provided inputs to these documents. Identification of the specific persons involved in filling the require science rolls on the rig started. A draft sample request form for UT and he greater hydrate community to request core samples and data was created and distributed for review.

- **Subtask 10.1: Routine Core Analysis** *(Status: Future Task)*
 - Nothing to report this period.
- **Subtask 10.2: Pressure Core Analysis** *(Status: Future Task)*
 - The quality and amount of analysis that can be done on-board is highly dependent on the Marine Test Drilling and Coring timeline. Details of the dependency including the expected number of cores, prioritization of core experiments, and process for assigning cores to specific assignments can be found in the on-board document.
- **Subtask 10.3: Hydrate Core-Log-Seismic Synthesis** *(Status: Future Task)*
 - Nothing to report this period.

TASK 11.0 - Update Pre-Expedition Drilling / Logging / Coring / Sampling Operational Plan (Field Program / Research Expedition)

(Status: On Schedule)

Nothing to report this period.

TASK 12.0 - Field Program / Research Expedition Vessel Access

(Status: Future Task)

Nothing to report this period.

DECISION POINT 3: Budget Period Continuation

Nothing to report this period.

1.2.3 FUTURE – BUDGET PERIOD 3A & 3B

Nothing to report this period.

1.3 WHAT DO YOU PLAN TO DO DURING THE NEXT REPORTING PERIOD TO ACCOMPLISH THE GOALS?

TASK 1.0: Project Management and Planning (continued from prior phase)

Will continue to execute the project in accordance with the approved PMP, manage and control project activities in accordance with their established processes and procedures to ensure subtasks and tasks are completed within schedule and budget constraints defined by the PMP. A key goal of the next quarter is complete preparations and execute the Marine Test.

TASK 6.0: Technical and Operational Support of Complimentary Project Proposal (CPP)

During the next reporting period we will focus on completing submissions to EPSP and on presenting at the EPSP Meeting in early May.

Task 7.0: Continued Pressure Coring and Core Analysis System Modifications and Testing

All modifications and testing of the PCTB have been completed for the Marine Test. The performance of the tool will be evaluated at the Marine Test.

Task 8.0: Pressure Coring Tool with Ball (PCTB) Marine Field Test

We will finalize the drilling plan for the Marine Test. We will complete permitting. We will prepare a Scientific Prospectus to describe the planned drilling program. We will finalize detailed planning documents for the Marine Tests. We will work with vendors and contractors to staff for Marine Test.

Task 9.0: Pressure Core Transport, Storage, and Manipulation

- **Subtask 9.2: Hydrate Core Transport**
 - We will complete this task through a contract with Geotek.
- **Subtask 9.3: Storage of Hydrate Pressure Cores**
 - We will begin storing pressure core acquired from Task 8
- **Subtask 9.4: Refrigerated Container for Storage of Hydrate Pressure Cores**
 - We will take ownership of the cold container and its operation
- **Subtask 9.5 – 9.7: Hydrate Core Manipulator and Cutter Tool, Hydrate Core Effective Stress Chamber, Hydrate Core Depressurization Chamber**
 - The tools will be delivered and installed in the container.

Task 10.0 Pressure Core Analysis

Continue revising plans for acquisition and analysis of pressure cores for the PCTB Marine Field Test using the On-Board and On-Shore Science Plan Documents. Identify specific persons to fill the required man-power on-board the Marine Test (the Science team). Announce the method for requesting Marine Test samples to the Hydrate community.

Task 11.0: Update Pre-Expedition Drilling / Logging / Coring / Sampling Operational Plan (Field Program / Research Expedition)

No work planned for the next reporting period.

Task 12.0: Field Program / Research Expedition Vessel Access

In the next quarter, we will find out whether we will be able to use the JOIDES Resolution for our next expedition.

2 PRODUCTS

2.1 PUBLICATIONS, CONFERENCE PAPERS, AND PRESENTATIONS

- Cook, A.E., & Sawyer, D., 2015, Methane migration in the Terrebonne Basin gas hydrate system, Gulf of Mexico, presented at 2015, Fall Meeting, AGU, San Francisco, CA, 14-18 Dec.
- Cook, A.E., & Sawyer, D., 2015, The mud-sand crossover on marine seismic data: *Geophysics*, v. 80, no. 6, p. A109-A114, 10.1190/geo2015-0291.1.
- Cook, A.E., and Waite, 2016, Archie's saturation exponent for natural gas hydrate in coarse-grained reservoir, presented at 2016 Gordon Research Conference from Feb28 to Mar04 in Galveston, TX, United States.
- Cook, A.E., Hillman, J., & Sawyer, D., 2015, Gas migration in the Terrebonne Basin gas hydrate system, Abstract OS23D-05 presented at 2015, Fall Meeting, AGU, San Francisco, CA, 14-18 Dec.
- Cook, A.E., Hillman, J., Sawyer, D., Treiber, K., Yang, C., Frye, M., Shedd, W., Palmes, 2016, Prospecting for Natural Gas Hydrate in the Orca & Choctaw Basins in the Northern Gulf of Mexico, poster at 2016 Fall Meeting, AGU, San Francisco, CA, 12-16 Dec.
- Fortin, W., Goldberg, D.S., Holbrook, W.S., and Küçük, H.M., 2016, Velocity analysis of gas hydrate systems using prestack waveform inversion, Gordon Research Conference on Natural Gas Hydrate Systems, Galveston, TX, Feb 28 - March 4, 2016.
- Fortin, W., Goldberg, D.S., Küçük, H.M., 2016, Methane Hydrate Concentrations at GC955 and WR313 Drilling Sites in the Gulf of Mexico Determined from Seismic Prestack Waveform Inversion, EOS Trans. AGU, Fall Meeting, Session 13837: Experiments, Modeling and Field Studies on Gas Hydrate Formation, San Francisco, CA Dec 12---16, 2016.
- Darnell, K., Flemings, P.B., DiCarlo, D.A., 2016, Nitrogen-assisted Three-phase Equilibrium in Hydrate Systems Composed of Water, Methane, Carbon Dioxide, and Nitrogen, Fall Meeting, AGU, San Francisco, Calif., 12-16 Dec. (scheduled)
- Goldberg, D., H.M. Küçük, S. Haines, G. Guerin, 2016. Reprocessing of high resolution multichannel seismic data in the Gulf of Mexico: implications for BSR character in the Walker Ridge and Green Canyon areas, Gordon Research Conference on Natural Gas Hydrate Systems, Galveston, TX, Feb 28 - March 4, 2016.
- Hillman, J., Cook, A. & Sawyer, D., 2016, Mapping and characterizing bottom-simulating reflectors in 2D and 3D seismic data to investigate connections to lithology and frequency dependence, presented at 2016 Gordon Research Conference from Feb28 to Mar04 in Galveston, TX, United States.
- Hillman, J, Cook, A.E., Sawyer, D., Küçük, H.M., and Goldberg, D.S., 2016. The character and amplitude of bottom-simulating reflectors in marine seismic data, *Earth & Plan Sci Lett.*, doi:<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.epsl.2016.10.058>
- Küçük, H.M., Goldberg, D.S, Haines, S., Dondurur, D., Guerin, G., and Çifçi, G., 2016. Acoustic investigation of shallow gas and gas hydrates: comparison between the Black Sea and Gulf of Mexico, Gordon Research Conference on Natural Gas Hydrate Systems, Galveston, TX, Feb 28 - March 4, 2016.

- Majumdar, U., Cook, A. E., Shedd, W., and Frye, M., 2016, The connection between natural gas hydrate and bottom-simulating reflectors: *Geophysical Research Letters*, DOI: 10.1002/2016GL069443
- Malinverno, A., 2015. Monte Carlo inversion applied to reaction-transport modeling of methane hydrate in continental margin sediments, Fall AGU Meeting, San Francisco, Calif., Abstract OS23B-2003.
- Malinverno, A., 2016. Modeling gas hydrate formation from microbial methane in the Terrebonne basin, Walker Ridge, Gulf of Mexico, Gordon Research Conference on Natural Gas Hydrate Systems, Galveston, TX, Feb 28 - March 4, 2016.
- Meazell, K., Flemings, P.B., 2016, Heat Flux and Fluid Flow in the Terrebonne Basin, Northern Gulf of Mexico, Fall Meeting, AGU, San Francisco, Calif., 12-16 Dec. (scheduled)
- Meazell, K., & Flemings, P.B., 2016, New insights into hydrate-bearing clastic sediments in the Terrebonne basin, northern Gulf of Mexico. Gordon Research Conference on Natural Gas Hydrate Systems.
- Meazell, K., & Flemings, P.B., 2016, The depositional evolution of the Terrebonne basin, northern Gulf of Mexico. 5th Annual Jackson School Research Symposium.
- Meazell, K., 2015, Methane hydrate-bearing sediments in the Terrebonne basin, northern Gulf of Mexico, Abstract OS23B-2012 presented at 2015 Fall Meeting, AGU, San Francisco, CA. 14-18 Dec.
- Phillips, S.C., Borgfedt, T., You, K., Meyer, D., and Flemings, P., 2016, Dissociation of laboratory-synthesized methane hydrate by depressurization. Poster presented at 2016 Gordon Research Conference and Gordon Research Seminar on Natural Gas Hydrates. Poster presented at 2016 Gordon Research Conference from Feb28 to Mar04 in Galveston, TX, United States.
- Phillips, S.C., *You, K., Borgfeldt, T., *Meyer, D.W., *Dong, T., Flemings, P.B., 2016, Dissociation of Laboratory-Synthesized Methane Hydrate in Coarse-Grained Sediments by Slow Depressurization, Fall Meeting, AGU, San Francisco, Calif., 12-16 Dec. (scheduled)
- Treiber, K, Sawyer, D., & Cook, A., 2016, Geophysical interpretation of gas hydrates in Green Canyon Block 955, northern Gulf of Mexico, USA. Poster presented, poster presented at 2016 Gordon Research Conference from Feb28 to Mar04 in Galveston, TX, United States.
- Worman, S. and, Flemings, P.B., 2016, Genesis of Methane Hydrate in Coarse-Grained Systems: Northern Gulf of Mexico Slope (GOM²). Poster presented at UT GeoFluids Consortia Meeting from March 2nd- March 4th in Austin, TX, United States.
- Yang, C., Cook, A., & Sawyer, D., 2016, Geophysical interpretation of the gas hydrate reservoir system at the Perdido Site, northern Gulf of Mexico, presented at 2016 Gordon Research Conference from Feb28 to Mar04 in Galveston, TX, United States
- You, K.Y., DiCarlo, D. & Flemings, P.B., 2015, Quantifying methane hydrate formation in gas-rich environments using the method of characteristics. Abstract OS23B-2005 presented at 2015, Fall Meeting, AGU, San Francisco, CA, 14-18 Dec.
- You, K., Flemings, P.B., 2016, Methane Hydrate Formation in Thick Sand Reservoirs: Long-range Gas Transport or Short-range Methane Diffusion?, Fall Meeting, AGU, San Francisco, Calif., 12-16 Dec. (scheduled)
- You, K.Y., Flemings, P.B., & DiCarlo, D., 2015, Quantifying methane hydrate formation in gas-rich environments using the method of characteristics. Poster presented at 2016 Gordon Research

Conference and Gordon Research Seminar on Natural Gas Hydrates. Poster presented at 2016 Gordon Research Conference from Feb28 to Mar04 in Galveston, TX, United States.

2.2 WEBSITE(S) OR OTHER INTERNET SITE(S)

Project Website: <https://ig.utexas.edu/energy/genesis-of-methane-hydrate-in-coarse-grained-systems/>

Project SharePoint: <https://sps.austin.utexas.edu/sites/GEOMech/doehd/teams/>

2.3 TECHNOLOGIES OR TECHNIQUES

Nothing to Report.

2.4 INVENTIONS, PATENT APPLICATIONS, AND/OR LICENSES

Nothing to Report.

2.5 OTHER PRODUCTS

Flemings, P. B., 2014, Y1Q1 Quarterly Research Performance Progress Report (Period ending 12/31/2014), Deepwater Methane Hydrate Characterization and Scientific Assessment, DOE Award No.: DE-FE0023919.

Flemings, P. B., 2015, Y1Q2 Quarterly Research Performance Progress Report (Period ending 3/31/2015), Deepwater Methane Hydrate Characterization and Scientific Assessment, DOE Award No.: DE-FE0023919.

Flemings, P. B., 2015, Y1Q3 Quarterly Research Performance Progress Report (Period ending 6/30/2015), Deepwater Methane Hydrate Characterization and Scientific Assessment, DOE Award No.: DE-FE0023919.

Flemings, P. B., 2015, Y1Q4 Quarterly Research Performance Progress Report (Period ending 9/30/2015), Deepwater Methane Hydrate Characterization and Scientific Assessment, DOE Award No.: DE-FE0023919.

Flemings, P. B., 2015, Phase 1 Report (Period ending 9/30/2015), Deepwater Methane Hydrate Characterization and Scientific Assessment, DOE Award No.: DE-FE0023919.

Flemings, P. B., 2016, Y2Q1 Quarterly Research Performance Progress Report (Period ending 12/31/2015), Deepwater Methane Hydrate Characterization and Scientific Assessment, DOE Award No.: DE-FE0023919.

Flemings, P. B., 2016, Y2Q2 Quarterly Research Performance Progress Report (Period ending 3/31/2015), Deepwater Methane Hydrate Characterization and Scientific Assessment, DOE Award No.: DE-FE0023919.

Flemings, P. B., 2016, Y2Q3 Quarterly Research Performance Progress Report (Period ending 6/30/2015), Deepwater Methane Hydrate Characterization and Scientific Assessment, DOE Award No.: DE-FE0023919.

Flemings, P. B., 2016, Y2Q4 Quarterly Research Performance Progress Report (Period ending 9/30/2015), Deepwater Methane Hydrate Characterization and Scientific Assessment, DOE Award No.: DE-FE0023919.

3 CHANGES/PROBLEMS

3.1 CHANGES IN APPROACH AND REASONS FOR CHANGE

- The tasks of planning, and permitting for the Marine Test have required the expenditures of considerably more staff time than was originally envisioned. We have invested in staff to accomplish this.

3.2 ACTUAL OR ANTICIPATED PROBLEMS OR DELAYS AND ACTIONS OR PLANS TO RESOLVE THEM

- This is a challenging project with many moving pieces. Our largest current challenges are:
 - Complete the permitting of the well with the federal government. We are working directly with BOEM and BSEE to achieve this and we are making progress.

3.3 CHANGES THAT HAVE A SIGNIFICANT IMPACT ON EXPENDITURES

- Detailed planning revealed significant costs not originally accounted for to complete the planned Marine Test:
 - Well completions (plug and abandon) requirements are significantly more involved than originally envisioned because the regulations have changed. This affects total rig time and contractor fees.
 - It will be extremely challenging to process all the core and appropriately analyze it while on the drilling platform.
 - Insurance and Bonding costs are significantly higher than originally planned.
- A detailed cost analysis was performed and presented to DOE and our technical advisory team. Plans are underway to propose a modification to the costs to meet the project objectives.

3.4 CHANGE OF PRIMARY PERFORMANCE SITE LOCATION FROM THAT ORIGINALLY PROPOSED

- Nothing to Report.

4 SPECIAL REPORTING REQUIREMENTS

4.1 CURRENT - BP2 / PHASE 2

Task 1 – Revised Project Management Plan (Complete)
Subtask 7.03 – PCTB Land Test Report (Complete)
Subtask 8.05 – Pressure Core Marine Field Test Report
Task 11 – Refined Field Program Operational Plan Report

4.2 FUTURE - BP 3 / PHASE 3

Phase 3A

A Phase 3A Report encompassing the refined Operational Plan, pressure coring team report, and permitting report

Task 14 - Field Program Operational Plan report
Task 15 – Field Program Hazards Report

Phase 3B

Task 16 – IODP Preliminary Expedition Report
Task 18 – Project Sample and Data Distribution Plan
Task 18 – IODP Proceedings Expedition Volume
Task 18 – Expedition Scientific Results Volume

5 BUDGETARY INFORMATION

Budget Period 2 cost summary is outlined below. Note: Y2 in the table is Y3 of the overall project including BP1.

Baseline Reporting Quarter	Budget Period 2							
	Y1Q1		Y1Q2		Y1Q3		Y1Q4	
	10/01/15-12/31/15		01/01/16-03/31/16		04/01/16-06/30/16		07/01/16-09/30/16	
	Y1Q1	Cumulative Total	Y1Q2	Cumulative Total	Y1Q3	Cumulative Total	Y1Q4	Cumulative Total
Baseline Cost Plan								
Federal Share	\$ 1,805,358	\$ 1,805,358	\$ 1,327,931	\$ 3,133,289	\$ 492,932	\$ 3,626,221	\$ 492,932	\$ 4,119,153
Non-Federal Share	\$ 471,771	\$ 471,771	\$ 471,771	\$ 943,542	\$ 471,771	\$ 1,415,313	\$ 471,771	\$ 1,887,084
Total Planned	\$ 2,277,129	\$ 2,277,129	\$ 1,799,702	\$ 4,076,831	\$ 964,703	\$ 5,041,534	\$ 964,703	\$ 6,006,237
Actual Incurred Cost								
Federal Share	\$ 788,040	\$ 788,040	\$ 802,088	\$ 1,590,128	\$ 862,023	\$ 2,452,151	\$ 920,499	\$ 3,372,650
Non-Federal Share	\$ 267,114	\$ 267,114	\$ 258,648	\$ 525,762	\$ 308,579	\$ 834,341	\$ 246,863	\$ 1,081,204
Total Incurred Cost	\$ 1,055,154	\$ 1,055,154	\$ 1,060,736	\$ 2,115,890	\$ 1,170,602	\$ 3,286,492	\$ 1,167,362	\$ 4,453,854
Variance								
Federal Share	\$ (1,017,318)	\$ (1,017,318)	\$ (525,843)	\$ (1,543,161)	\$ 369,091	\$ (1,174,070)	\$ 427,567	\$ (746,503)
Non-Federal Share	\$ (204,657)	\$ (204,657)	\$ (213,123)	\$ (417,780)	\$ (163,192)	\$ (580,972)	\$ (224,908)	\$ (805,880)
Total Variance	\$ (1,221,975)	\$ (1,221,975)	\$ (738,966)	\$ (1,960,941)	\$ 205,899	\$ (1,755,042)	\$ 202,659	\$ (1,552,383)
Baseline Reporting Quarter	Budget Period 2							
	Y2Q1		Y2Q2		Y2Q3		Y2Q4	
	10/01/16-12/31/16		01/01/17-03/31/17		04/01/17-06/30/17		07/01/17-09/30/17	
	Y2Q1	Cumulative Total	Y2Q2	Cumulative Total	Y2Q3	Cumulative Total	Y2Q4	Cumulative Total
Baseline Cost Plan								
Federal Share	\$ 1,096,922	\$ 5,216,075	\$ 10,209,921	\$ 15,425,996	\$ 1,001,922	\$ 16,427,918	\$ 1,001,922	\$ 17,429,840
Non-Federal Share	\$ 848,570	\$ 2,735,654	\$ 848,569	\$ 3,584,223	\$ 848,569	\$ 4,432,792	\$ 848,569	\$ 5,281,361
Total Planned	\$ 1,945,492	\$ 7,951,729	\$ 11,058,490	\$ 19,010,219	\$ 1,850,491	\$ 20,860,710	\$ 1,850,491	\$ 22,711,201
Actual Incurred Cost								
Federal Share	\$ 1,726,789	\$ 5,099,439	\$ 1,806,352	\$ 6,905,791				
Non-Federal Share	\$ 525,849	\$ 1,607,053	\$ 576,503	\$ 2,183,556				
Total Incurred Cost	\$ 2,252,638	\$ 7,533,999	\$ 2,382,855	\$ 9,916,854				
Variance								
Federal Share	\$ 629,867	\$ (116,636)	\$ (8,403,569)	\$ (8,520,205)				
Non-Federal Share	\$ (322,721)	\$ (1,128,600)	\$ (272,066)	\$ (1,400,666)				
Total Variance	\$ 307,147	\$ (1,245,236)	\$ (8,675,635)	\$ (9,920,871)				

Table 6: BP2 Cost Summary

6 REFERENCES

Flemings, P. B., 2016a, Y2Q1 Quarterly Research Performance Progress Report (Period ending 12/31/2015), Deepwater Methane Hydrate Characterization and Scientific Assessment, DOE Award No.: DE-FE0023919.

Flemings, P. B., 2016b, Y2Q2 Quarterly Research Performance Progress Report (Period ending 3/31/2015), Deepwater Methane Hydrate Characterization and Scientific Assessment, DOE Award No.: DE-FE0023919.

7 ACRONYMS

CPP	Complimentary Project Proposal
DOE	Department of Energy
EPSP	Environmental Protection and Safety Panel
IODP	International Ocean Discovery Program
LDEO	Lamont–Doherty Earth Observatory
LWD	Logging While Drilling
m	meter
MADOG	Mad Dog
NEPA	National Environmental Policy Act
OCB	Outer Core Barrel
OCBA	Outer Core Barrel Assembly
OSU	Ohio State University
PCATS	Pressure Core Analysis and Transfer System
PCTB	Pressure Coring Tool with Ball Valve
PRL	Proponent Response Letter
SEP	Science Evaluation Panel
SSDB	Site Survey Data Bank
TBONE	Terrebonne
TFA	Total Flow Area
UNH	University of New Hampshire
UT	The University of Texas

Table 7: Acronyms

National Energy Technology Laboratory

626 Cochran's Mill Road
P.O. Box 10940
Pittsburgh, PA 15236-0940

3610 Collins Ferry Road
P.O. Box 880
Morgantown, WV 26507-0880

13131 Dairy Ashford Road, Suite 225
Sugar Land, TX 77478

1450 Queen Avenue SW
Albany, OR 97321-2198

Arctic Energy Office
420 L Street, Suite 305
Anchorage, AK 99501

Visit the NETL website at:
www.netl.doe.gov

Customer Service Line:
1-800-553-7681



U.S. DEPARTMENT OF
ENERGY

**NATIONAL ENERGY
TECHNOLOGY LABORATORY**