

# DOE Award No.: DE-FE0023919

Quarterly Research Performance Progress Report

(Period Ending 03/31/25)

Deepwater Methane Hydrate Characterization & Scientific Assessment

Project Period 6: 11/15/23 - 09/30/25

Submitted by: Peter B. Flemings

Henry

Signature

The University of Texas at Austin DUNS #: 170230239 101 East 27<sup>th</sup> Street, Suite 4.300 Austin, TX 78712-1500 Email: <u>pflemings@jsg.utexas.edu</u> Phone number: (512) 475-8738

Prepared for: United States Department of Energy National Energy Technology Laboratory

May. 12, 2025

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

NATIONAL ENERGY TECHNOLOGY LABORATORY

Office of Fossil Energy

# Submitted By:

Peter B. Flemings, Principal Investigator Jesse Houghton, Senior Project Manager Carla Thomas, Science/Technical Program Manager

# DISCLAIMER

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.

# **1** ACCOMPLISHMENTS

This report outlines the progress of the second quarter of the eleventh fiscal year of the project, from Jan. 1, - Mar. 31, 2025 (Budget Period 6, Year 2).

### 1.1 Major Project Goals

The primary objective of this project is to gain insight into the nature, formation, occurrence and physical properties of methane hydrate-bearing sediments for the purpose of methane hydrate resource appraisal. This was accomplished through the planning and execution of a state-of-the-art drilling, coring, logging, testing and analytical program that assess the geologic occurrence, regional context, and characteristics of marine methane hydrate deposits in the Gulf of Mexico Continental Shelf. Project Milestones are listed in Table 1-1 and Table 1-2.

Budget Period	Milestone	Milestone Description	Estimated Completion	Actual Completion	Verification Method
	M1A	Project Management Plan	Mar-15	Mar-15	Project Management Plan
	M1B	Project Kick-off Meeting	Jan-15	Dec-14	Presentation
1	M1C	Site Location and Ranking Report	Sep-15	Sep-15	Phase 1 Report
1	M1D	Preliminary Field Program Operational Plan Report	Sep-15	Sep-15	Phase 1 Report
	M1E	Updated CPP Proposal Submitted	May-15	Oct-15	Phase 1 Report
	M1F	Demonstration of a Viable Pressure Coring Tool: Lab Test	Sep-15	Sep-15	Phase 1 Report
	M2A	Document Results of BP1/Phase 1 Activities	Dec-15	Jan-16	Phase 1 Report
	M2B	Complete Updated CPP Proposal Submitted	Nov-15	Nov-15	QRPPR
2	M2C	Scheduling of Hydrate Drilling Leg by IODP	May-16	May-17	Report directly to DOE PM
2	M2D	Demonstration of a Viable Pressure Coring Tool: Land Test	Dec-15	Dec-15	PCTB Land Test Report, in QRPPR
	M2E	Demonstration of a Viable Pressure Coring Tool: Marine Test	Jan-17	May-17	QRPPR
	M2F	2F Update UT-GOM2-2 Operational Plan		Apr-18	Phase 2 Report
2	M3A	Document results of BP2 Activities	Apr-18	Apr-18	Phase 2 Report
5	M3B	Update UT-GOM2-2 Operational Plan	Sep-19	Jan-19	Phase 3 Report

#### Table 1-1. Previous Milestones

	M4A	Document results of BP3 Activities	Jan-20	Apr-20	Phase 3 Report
4	M4B	Demonstration of a Viable Pressure Coring Tool: Lab Test	Feb-20	Jan-20	PCTB Lab Test Report, in QRPPR
	M4C	Demonstration of a Viable Pressure Coring Tool: Land Test	Mar-20	Mar-20	PCTB Land Test Report, in QRPPR
	M5A	5A Document Results of BP4 Activities		Mar-21	Phase 4 Report
	M5B	Complete Contracting of UT-GOM2-2 with Drilling Vessel	May-21	Feb-22	QRPPR
-	M5C	Complete Project Sample and Data Distribution Plan	Jul-22	Oct-21	Report directly to DOE PM
5	M5D	M5D Complete Pre-Expedition Permitting Requirements for UT-GOM2-2 Mar-23	Mar-23	Jul-23	QRPPR
	M5E	Complete UT-GOM2-2 Operational Plan Report	May-21	Sep-21	QRPPR
	M5F	Complete UT-GOM2-2 Field Operations	Jul-23	Sep-23	QRPPR

Table 1-2. Current Milestones

Budget Period	Milestone	Milestone Description	Estimated Completion	Actual Completion	Verification Method
	M6A Document Res	Document Results of BP5 Activities	Mar-23	Nov-24	Phase 5 Report
6	M6B	Complete Preliminary Expedition Summary	Mar-23	Sep-24 Oct-24	Report directly to DOE PM
o	M6C	Initiate comprehensive Scientific Results Volume	Jun-24		Report directly to DOE PM
	M6D	Submit set of manuscripts for comprehensive Scientific Results Volume	Sep-25	-	Report directly to DOE PM

# 1.2 What Was Accomplishments Under These Goals

### 1.2.1 Previous Project Periods

Tasks accomplished in previous project periods (Phases 1, 2, 3, 4, and 5) are summarized in Table 1-3, Table 1-4, Table 1-5, Table 1-6, and Table 1-7.

PHASE 1/BUDGET PERIOD 1						
Task 1.0	Project Management and Planning					
Task 2.0	Site Analysis and Selection					
Subtask 2.1	Site Analysis					
Subtask 2.2	Site Ranking / Recommendation					
Task 3.0	Develop Operational Plan for UT-GOM2-2 Scientific Drilling Program					
Task 4.0	Complete IODP Complimentary Project Proposal					
Task 5.0	Pressure Coring and Core Analysis System Modifications and Testing					
Subtask 5.1	PCTB Scientific Planning Workshop					
Subtask 5.2	PCTB Lab Test					
Subtask 5.3	PCTB Land Test Prep					

Table 1-3. Tasks Accomplished in Phase 1

#### Table 1-4. Tasks Accomplished in Phase 2

PHASE 2/BUDGET PERIOD 2						
Task 1.0	Project Management and Planning					
Task 6.0	Technical and Operational Support of Complimentary Project Proposal					
Task 7.0	Continued Pressure Coring and Core Analysis System Modifications and Testing					
Subtask 7.1	Review and Complete NEPA Requirements for PCTB Land Test					
Subtask 7.2	PCTB Land Test					
Subtask 7.3	PCTB Land Test Report					
Subtask 7.4	PCTB Modification					
Task 8.0	UT-GOM2-1 Marine Field Test					
Subtask 8.1	Review and Complete NEPA Requirements for UT-GOM2-1					
Subtask 8.2	UT-GOM2-1 Operational Plan					
Subtask 8.3	UT-GOM2-1 Documentation and Permitting					
Subtask 8.4	UT-GOM2-1 Marine Field Test of Pressure Coring System					
Subtask 8.5	UT-GOM2-1 Marine Field Test Report					
Task 9.0	Develop Pressure Core Transport, Storage, and Manipulation Capability					
Subtask 9.1	Review and Complete NEPA Requirements for Core Storage and Manipulation					
Subtask 9.2	Hydrate Core Transport					
Subtask 9.3	Storage of Hydrate Pressure Cores					
Subtask 9.4	Refrigerated Container for Storage of Hydrate Pressure Cores					

Subtask 9.5	Hydrate Core Manipulator and Cutter Tool
Subtask 9.6	Hydrate Core Effective Stress Chamber
Subtask 9.7	Hydrate Core Depressurization Chamber
Task 10.0	UT-GOM2-1 Core Analysis
Subtask 10.1	Routine Core Analysis (UT-GOM2-1)
Subtask 10.2	Pressure Core Analysis (UT-GOM2-1)
Subtask 10.3	Hydrate Core-Log-Seismic Synthesis (UT-GOM2-1)
Task 11.0	Update Science and Operational Plans for UT-GOM2-2 Scientific Drilling Program
Task 12.0	UT-GOM2-2 Scientific Drilling Program Vessel Access

### Table 1-5. Tasks Accomplished in Phase 3

PHASE 3/BUDGET PERIOD 3						
Task 1.0	Project Management and Planning					
Task 6.0	Technical and Operational Support of CPP Proposal					
Task 9.0	Develop Pressure Core Transport, Storage, and Manipulation Capability					
Subtask 9.8	X-ray Computed Tomography					
Subtask 9.9	Pre-Consolidation System					
Task 10.0	UT-GOM2-1 Core Analysis					
Subtask 10.4	Continued Pressure Core Analysis (UT-GOM2-1)					
Subtask 10.5	Continued Hydrate Core-Log-Seismic Synthesis (UT-GOM2-1)					
Subtask 10.6	Additional Core Analysis Capabilities					
Task 11.0	Update Science and Operational Plans for UT-GOM2-2 Scientific Drilling Program					
Task 12.0	UT-GOM2-2 Scientific Drilling Program Vessel Access					
Task 13.0	Maintenance and Refinement of Pressure Core Transport, Storage, and Manipulation Capability					
Subtask 13.1	Hydrate Core Manipulator and Cutter Tool					
Subtask 13.2	Hydrate Core Effective Stress Chamber					
Subtask 13.3	Hydrate Core Depressurization Chamber					
Subtask 13.4	Develop Hydrate Core Transport Capability for UT-GOM2-2 Scientific Drilling Program					
Subtask 13.5	Expansion of Pressure Core Storage Capability for UT-GOM2-2 Scientific Drilling Program					
Subtask 13.6	Continued Storage of Hydrate Cores from UT-GOM2-1					
Task 14.0	Performance Assessment, Modifications, and Testing of PCTB					
Subtask 14.1	PCTB Lab Test					
Subtask 14.2	PCTB Modifications/Upgrades					
Task 15.0	UT-GOM2-2 Scientific Drilling Program Preparations					
Subtask 15.1	Assemble and Contract Pressure Coring Team Leads for UT-GOM2-2 Scientific Drilling Program					
Subtask 15.2	Contract Project Scientists and Establish Project Science Team for UT-GOM2-2 Scientific Drilling Program					

Table 1-6. Tasks Accomplished in Phase 4

PHASE 4/BUDGET PERIOD 4						
Task 1.0	Project Management and Planning					
Task 10.0	UT-GOM2-1 Core Analysis					
Subtask 10.4	Continued Pressure Core Analysis (GOM2-1)					
Subtask 10.5	Continued Hydrate Core-Log-Seismic Synthesis (UT-GOM2-1)					
Subtask 10.6	Additional Core Analysis Capabilities					
Subtask 10.7	Hydrate Modeling					
Task 11.0	Update Science and Operational Plans for UT-GOM2-2 Scientific Drilling Program					
Task 12.0	UT-GOM2-2 Scientific Drilling Program Vessel Access					
Task 13.0	Maintenance and Refinement of Pressure Core Transport, Storage, and Manipulation Capability					
Subtask 13.1	Hydrate Core Manipulator and Cutter Tool					
Subtask 13.2	Hydrate Core Effective Stress Chamber					
Subtask 13.3	Hydrate Core Depressurization Chamber					
Subtask 13.4	Develop Hydrate Core Transport Capability for UT-GOM2-2 Scientific Drilling Program					
Subtask 13.5	Expansion of Pressure Core Storage Capability for UT-GOM2-2 Scientific Drilling Program					
Subtask 13.6	Continued Storage of Hydrate Cores from UT-GOM2-1					
Subtask 13.7	X-ray Computed Tomography					
Subtask 13.8	Pre-Consolidation System					
Task 14.0	Performance Assessment, Modifications, and Testing of PCTB					
Subtask 14.1	PCTB Lab Test					
Subtask 14.2	PCTB Modifications/Upgrades					
Subtask 14.3	PCTB Land Test					
Task 15.0	UT-GOM2-2 Scientific Drilling Program Preparations					
Subtask 15.3	Permitting for UT-GOM2-2 Scientific Drilling Program					

### Table 1-7. Tasks Accomplished in Phase 5

PHASE 5/BUDGET PERIOD 5						
Task 1.0	Project Management and Planning					
Task 10.0	UT-GOM2-1 Core Analysis					
Subtask 10.4	Continued Pressure Core Analysis (UT-GOM2-1)					
Subtask 10.5	Continued Hydrate Core-Log-Seismic Synthesis (UT-GOM2-1)					
Subtask 10.6	Additional Core Analysis Capabilities					
Subtask 10.7	Hydrate Modeling					
Task 11.0	Update Science and Operational Plans for UT-GOM2-2 Scientific Drilling Program					
Task 12.0	UT-GOM2-2 Scientific Drilling Program Vessel Access					
Task 13.0	Maintenance and Refinement of Pressure Core Transport, Storage, and Manipulation Capability					
Subtask 13.1	Hydrate Core Manipulator and Cutter tool					
Subtask 13.2	Hydrate Core Effective Stress Chamber					
Subtask 13.3	Hydrate Core Depressurization Chamber					
Subtask 13.4	Develop Hydrate Core Transport Capability for UT-GOM2-2 Scientific Drilling Program					
Subtask 13.5	Expansion of Pressure Core Storage Capability for UT-GOM2-2 Scientific Drilling Program					
Subtask 13.6	Continued Maintenance and Storage of Hydrate Pressure Cores from UT-GOM2-1					
Subtask 13.7	Maintain X-ray CT					
Subtask 13.8	Maintain Preconsolidation System					
Subtask 13.9	Transportation of Hydrate Core from UT-GOM2-2 Scientific Drilling Program					
Subtask 13.10	Storage of Hydrate Cores from UT-GOM2-2 Scientific Drilling Program					
Subtask 13.11	Hydrate Core Distribution					
Task 14.0	Performance Assessment, Modifications, and Testing of PCTB					
Subtask 14.4	PCTB Modifications/Upgrades					
Subtask 14.5	PCTB Land Test III					
Task 15.0	UT-GOM2-2 Scientific Drilling Program Preparations					
Subtask 15.3	Permitting for UT-GOM2-2 Scientific Drilling Program					
Subtask 15.4	Review and Complete NEPA Requirements					
Subtask 15.5	Finalize Operational Plan for UT-GOM2-2 Scientific Drilling Program					
Task 16.0	UT-GOM2-2 Scientific Drilling Program Field Operations					
Subtask 16.1	Execute UT-GOM2-2 Field Program					
Optional Subtask 16.2	Add Conventional Coring					
Optional Subtask 16.3	Add Spot Pressure Coring					
Optional Subtask 16.4	Add Second Hole at H-Location					
Optional Subtask 16.5	Add Additional Cores and Measurements					
Task 17.0	UT-GOM2-2 Core Analysis					
Subtask 17.1	Routine UT-GOM2-2 Core Analysis					
Optional Subtask 17.2	UT-GOM2-2 Expanded Core Analysis					

## 1.2.2 Current Project Period

Current project period tasks are shown in Table 1-8.

Table 1-8. Current Project Tasks

PHASE 6/BUDGET PERIOD 6						
Task 1.0	Project Management and Planning					
Task 13.0	Maintenance and Refinement of Pressure Core Transport, Storage, and Manipulation Capability					
Subtask 13.1	Hydrate Core Manipulator and Cutter tool					
Subtask 13.2	Hydrate Core Effective Stress Chamber					
Subtask 13.3	Hydrate Core Depressurization Chamber					
Subtask 13.6	Continued Storage of Hydrate Cores from UT-GOM2-1					
Subtask 13.7	Maintain X-ray CT					
Subtask 13.8	Maintain Preconsolidation System					
Subtask 13.10	Storage of Hydrate Cores from UT-GOM2-2 Scientific Drilling Program					
Subtask 13.11	Hydrate Core Distribution					
Task 16.0	UT-GOM2-2 Scientific Drilling Program Field Operations					
Subtask 16.6	Post-Expedition Permitting					
Task 17.0	UT-GOM2-2 Core Analysis					
Task 18.0	Project Data Analysis and Reporting					
Subtask 18.1	Sample and Data Distribution and Archiving					
Subtask 18.2	Collaborative Post-Field Project Analysis of Geologic Data and Samples					
Subtask 18.3	Scientific Results Volume and Technical Project Presentations					

### 1.2.2.1 Task 1.0 – Project Management & Planning

1.2.2.1.1 *Coordinate the overall scientific progress, administration and finances of the project:* 

- UT monitored and controlled the project budget, scope, and schedule.
- UT submitted a formal no-cost-extension proposal to extend Budget Period 6 (BP6) for 18-month, from September 30, 2025 through March 30, 2027. (See Section 3.2)

### 1.2.2.1.2 *Communicate with project team and sponsors:*

- UT organized UT-GOM2-2 science meetings to advance UT-GOM2-2 post-cruise science, and communicate/coordinate analytical and reporting efforts.
- UT organized sponsor and stakeholder meetings.
- UT managed SharePoint sites, email lists, the project website, and the UT-GOM2-2 expedition website.

### 1.2.2.1.3 *Coordinate and supervise service agreements:*

• UT monitored and validated subcontractor workplans and deliverables.

### 1.2.2.1.4 *Coordinate subcontractors:*

- UT continued to monitor and control subaward and subcontractor efforts.
- UT formalized a new agreement with Geotek for continued rental of SC120 pressure core storage chambers.

### 1.2.2.2 <u>Task 13.0 – Maintenance & Refinement of Pressure Core Transport, Storage, & Manipulation</u> <u>Capability</u>

### 1.2.2.2.1 Subtask 13.1 – Hydrate Core Manipulator and Cutter Tool

In this quarter, UT used mini-PCATS to log, image, and cut the following samples:

- UT-GOM2-1
  - Core H005-07FB-5: this core was tested in the KO Permeameter for a Gas Production Test. This test aims to replicate gas production at in-situ conditions, where the total vertical stress is constant, and the pore pressure is reduced below hydrate stability (see Subtask 13.2 – Hydrate Core Effective Stress Chamber for details)
- UT-GOM2-2
  - Core H002-08CS-1 (Figure 1-1): this core is the first pressure core from the UT-GOM2-2 to be analyzed in mini-PCATS. In total, UT cut 5 consecutive subsamples for geomechanical and petrophysical testing in the KO permeameter (3 samples), quantitative degassing in the SC35 depressurization chamber (1 sample), core visual analysis in the sapphire window cell (1 sample). The remaining sample was placed back in the storage chamber for additional sampling next quarter.

The Mini-PCATS saw was serviced and reset between core samplings. The system was pressure tested successfully after service. The X-ray system underwent quarterly calibration.



Figure 1-1. Core H002-08CS-1 is the first pressure core collected in the UT-GOM2-2 to be analyzed using the UT Pressure Core Center. (A) Depth in meters below the seafloor (mbsf); (B) depth in core; (C) subsamples obtained using mini-PCATS; (D)PCATS X-ray CT image (slab view of a 3D computer tomography) with 3x horizontal stretching (aspect ratio 1:3); (E) mini-PCATS 2D radiography with 3x horizontal stretching (aspect ratio 1:3); (G) P-wave velocity obtained in PCATS (blue) and mini-PCATS (black) and (H) PCATS Gamma density measured under pressure.

### 1.2.2.2.2 Subtask 13.2 – Hydrate Core Effective Stress Chamber

During this quarter, a sample from UT-GOM2-1 Core H005-7FB-5 was placed in the Effective Stress Chamber for testing (Figure 1-2). The testing of sample 7FB-5 was conducted as a "hydrate production technology test" to ensure all components are operational for a production condition, where the pore pressure is decreased while the total vertical stress is maintained constant. The temperature monitoring testing chamber was used to conduct this test and assess its operation.

The in-situ conditions for sample H005-7B-5 are ~25 MPa and ~18.5 °C, and a pressure drop of 5 MPa is sufficient to cause hydrate dissociation (Bhandari et al., 2024). To replicate this isothermal depressurization and

the associated 5 MPa increase in vertical effective stress under experimental conditions of 6 °C, the initial pressure must be set to 10 MPa. Therefore, the initial pore pressure condition for our hydrate production test is u = 10 MPa (Figure 1-2, black)., This is followed by an initial depressurization where the hydrate remains stable (Figure 1-2, blue 10 MPa< u < 5 MPa ), and then a further depressurization that induces hydrate dissociation (Figure 1-2, red, u < 5 MPa).

Figure 1-2 shows the geomechanical and petrophysical results of the "hydrate production test". We first load the sample uniaxially to its in-situ vertical effective stress of  $\sigma'_v = 3.8$  MPa and hold the axial effective stress constant for one day. During this process, the sample deforms to ~4% axial strain (Figure 1-2a) and the ratio of horizontal to vertical effective stress decreases to K<sub>0</sub> ~0.6 and rises to 0.75 during the creep phase (Figure 1-2b). The permeability measured at this point is 1 mD (Figure 1-2c). Thereafter, the pore pressure is decreased from u = 10 MPa to u = 5 MPa while the total axial stress is kept constant. As a result, the effective stress –difference between total stress and pore pressure– increases, and the sample deforms further and the K<sub>0</sub> remains close to 0.7 (Figure 1-2a&b, blue lines).

To cause hydrate dissociation, we decrease the pressure to values lower than 5 MPa, where the hydrate dissociation is expected to occur at 4.9 MPa at 6°C and 0% salinity. The sample deforms significantly (Figure 1-2a, red line), approaching the hydrate-free compression behavior (Figure 1-2a, gray line). During the hydrate dissociation phase, the K<sub>0</sub> drops slightly to 0.67 and remains larger than the hydrate-free K<sub>0</sub> = 0.51. Once all the hydrate is removed, we re-saturate the sample and measure an intrinsic permeability of 3 mD.



Figure 1-2. Hydrate production test for UT-GOM2-1 sample 07FB-5. Results for (A) uniaxial compression, (B) ratio of lateral to vertical effective stress ratio under uniaxial conditions K0 and (C) permeability are shown for each phase of the test. Loading to in-situ conditions is shown as black, depressurization from 10 to 5 MPa is shown as blue, and further depressurization from 5 to 0 MPa is shown as red. The phase boundary at 6°C and 0% salinity is expected at 4.9 MPa

### 1.2.2.2.3 Subtask 13.3 – Hydrate Core Depressurization Chamber

The system was upgraded to include a 4 liter bubbling chamber to double the methane gas quantification capability. The system was used to quantify methane gas from the core samples tested in the Effective Stress Chamber. The system is in standby mode and ready for use in the next quarter to depressurize core samples from H002-08CS-1.

### 1.2.2.2.4 Subtask 13.6 – Continued Storage of Hydrate Cores from UT-GOM2-1

The UT Pressure Core Center continues to accommodate the remaining pressure cores from UT-GOM2-1 as well as the 13 pressure cores collected during UT-GOM2-2.

### 1.2.2.2.5 Subtask 13.7 – Maintain X-ray Computed Tomography

The X-ray CT underwent a quarterly calibration. The X-ray was used to generate 2D scans of cores H005-07FB-5 and H002-08CS-1. The X-Ray CT continues to operate as designed.

### 1.2.2.2.6 Subtask 13.8 – Maintain Pre-Consolidation System

The system will continue to be evaluated to ensure proper pressure maintenance to generate effective stresses in pressure cores.

### 1.2.2.2.7 Subtask 13.10 – Storage of Hydrate Cores from UT-GOM2-2 Scientific Drilling Program

The UT PCC continues to maintain hydrate-bearing pressure cores at 6°C and connected to the pressure maintenance system, which supplies one-way high-pressure water into the pressure storage chambers. The pressure cores continue to maintain stable storage pressures.

# 1.2.2.2.8 *Subtask 13.11 – Hydrate Core Distribution* Future task.

### 1.2.2.3 Task 16.0 – UT-GOM2-2 Scientific Drilling Program Field Operations

1.2.2.3.1 *Subtask 16.6 – Post-Expedition Permitting* Task complete.

### 1.2.2.4 Task 17.0 – UT-GOM2-2 Core Analysis

Work continued on maximizing the amount of science resulting from the UT-GOM2-2 expedition. Efforts are reported by discipline and cover two main areas of research: characteristics of the shallow sand, mud, and ooze intervals; and characteristics of the deep hydrate-bearing sands. Both inform our understanding of hydrate formation and carbon cycling locally, in the basin, and more generally in the Gulf of Mexico.

### 1.2.2.4.1 Pressure Coring Tool Assessment

UT finished the assessment of the pressure coring tool performance during the expedition comparing
pressure and temperature data from various sensors inside the Pressure Coring Tool with Ball Valve
(PCTB) and outside on the wireline to rig and wireline data collected as part of the mud logging program.
Results have been incorporated into the UT-GOM2-2 Proceedings Volume.

### 1.2.2.4.2 *Lithostratigraphy*

- Work was completed on a more expansive review of the Lithostratigraphy, including defined lithofacies and lithologic units for the Proceedings Volume.
- UNH is using thin sand petrography and other techniques to investigate what drives sand/silt/coarse sediment fluxes to the Terrebonne basin and the GOM more generally through time. The known processes so far are low stand sand delivery and meltwater sand delivery. UNH will investigate the consistency of these processes throughout the last ~4 glacial cycles represented by the recovered H003 sediment.
- UNH found a few instances of authigenic carbonate in the H003 sediment. These samples are being prepared for isotope analysis and should reveal any additional indicators of authigenic over growths and/or paleo-methane seepage.

### 1.2.2.4.3 Biostratigraphy and Age Models

- UT continued working on an age model based on calcareous nannofossils. One age marker was updated. The evolution of *E. huxleyi* with an age of 290,000 years was moved to 35.63 mbsf.
- USGS continued working on planktic foraminifer radiocarbon age model of UT-GOM2-2 sediments and sediment deposition rates. Deposition rates can impact methanogenesis. The shallow sand (named Lithofacies Unit I) appears to be no older than 1276 to 1387 AD.
- USGS and UNH continued working on sieving and picking foraminifera for age modeling. This work will be ongoing until the end of the project.

### 1.2.2.4.4 *Physical Properties*

### 1.2.2.4.4.1 Core Logging and Imaging

• UT completed updating pressure, conventional and conventionalized core logs created with the software package Strater. Logs were updated based on corrections to the core log including missing samples, corrected sample depths, etc. Logs will be published in the expedition proceedings volume.

### 1.2.2.4.4.2 Strength and Compression Behavior

- Tufts University continued conducting Constant Rate of Strain consolidation tests on UT-GOM2-2 intact samples from whole round cores.
- Tufts started triaxial testing of resedimented samples from whole round blended material.
- Tufts has completed the first draft of a manuscript on compression behavior.

### 1.2.2.4.4.3 Index Properties

• Tufts started Atterberg Limit testing of the blended whole round samples

- Tufts completed gas pycnometer density measurements on the split core and MAD plugs
- Laser and Hydrometer particle size distribution results were updated to include two grain size classifications, the geoscience classification, and the geotechnical classification. The first is used primarily by sedimentologists and assumes that sands are > 62.5 μm, silts are 3.9 to 62.5 μm, and clays are less than 3.9 μm. The second is primarily used in geoengineering and assumes that sands are > 75 μm, silts are 2 to 75 μm, and clays are less than 2 μm. A comparison of the results will be included in the Proceedings Volume.
- Tufts distributed results from James Hutton for X-ray powdered diffraction to identify minerals and clays.

### 1.2.2.4.5 *Dissolved Methane Concentration and Hydrate Saturation*

• UT and USGS worked on refining the calculations of methane saturation of the pore water and hydrate saturation of the pore space.

### 1.2.2.4.6 *Microbiology*

• Kochi Institute for Core Sample Research, part of JAMTEC, completed cell counts of samples received from H002 and H003. Counts will be compared to microbial diversity determinations from Oregon State.

### 1.2.2.4.7 Geochemistry

- UW completed the correction of mineral concentrations for drilling fluid contamination. Contamination was higher than normal for APC coring. Corrections did not impact the general shape of the depth profiles.
- UNH continued developing a method for isolating and measuring the amount of charcoal (wt. %) in the marine sediments using soil standard samples. Ultimately the goal of this method refinement is to quantify the % C in the GOM2-2 sediments that is charcoal (the most non-reactive C phase in marine sediments, thus unavailable for methanogenesis).

### 1.2.2.5 <u>Task 18.0 – Project Data Analysis and Reporting</u>

### 1.2.2.5.1 Subtask 18.1 – Sample and Data Distribution and Archiving

• No additional sample or data requests were received. All data is available to the science team via password protected websites. When the full expedition report is published the data will be archived and made public.

### 1.2.2.5.2 Subtask 18.2 – Collaborative Post-Field Project Analysis of Geologic Data and Samples

### UT-GOM2-2 Proceedings Volume

- UT and the project science team completed most of the work on the UT-GOM2-2 Proceedings Volume preparing for submission to USGS for a formal review. This volume includes the following:
  - Cover pages:
    - To be published on OTSI.gov, Zenodo (DOI: 10.5281/zenodo.13970849, DOI links will work once published)
    - 4 pages
    - Science Party: To also be published here <u>UT-GOM2-2: Science Party</u>
    - Acknowledgements: To also be added to <u>UT-GOM2-2: Deepwater Hydrate Coring</u> <u>Expedition</u>
  - Chapter 1 Summary:
    - To be published as pdf on OTSI.gov, Zenodo (DOI: 10.5281/zenodo.13971076), and <u>Proceedings of the UT-GOM2-2 Deepwater Hydrate Coring Expedition</u>
    - 62 pages
    - 28 figures
    - 2 tables
  - Chapter 2 Methods:
    - To be published as pdf on OTSI.gov, Zenodo (DOI: 10.5281/zenodo.13971228), and <u>Proceedings of the UT-GOM2-2 Deepwater Hydrate Coring Expedition</u>
    - 161 pages
    - 66 figures
    - 14 tables + table of acronyms
  - Chapter 3 Site H:
    - To be published as pdf on OTSI.gov, Zenodo (DOI: 10.5281/zenodo.13971276), and Proceedings of the UT-GOM2-2 Deepwater Hydrate Coring Expedition
    - 178 pages, plus appendices
    - 76 figures
    - 25 tables
    - 9 appendices
      - Appendix A 1 table of 52 pages
      - Appendix B 150 pages, images of 38 daily reports
      - Appendix C 30 pages, 29 figures
      - Appendix D 47 pages of Strater figures
      - Appendix E 27 pages of Strater figures
      - Appendix F 21 pages of Strater figures
      - Appendix G 10 pages of 2 tables
      - Appendix H 20 pages of 7 tables

- Appendix I 24 page, 22 figures
- The estimated publication date is Summer 2025.

### UT-GOM2-2 Data Archive / Data Directory

- UT continued to review core reports, coring data, curation data, logs and images to confirm the final integrated recovery data for each core.
- UT finished building the structure to house 22 datasets on Zenodo under the Zenodo community for GOM, <u>Terrebonne Basin Deepwater Hydrate Coring</u>.

# 1.2.2.5.3 *Subtask 18.3 – Scientific Results Volume and Technical Project Presentations* **UT-GOM2-2 Scientific Results Volume**

- The special collection application submitted to AGU on Nov. 4, 2024, was rejected by AGU. No specific reason was cited, but they did express interest in individual submissions of two of their journals.
- A special collection was also rejected informally by *Journal of Marine and Petroleum Geology (JMPG)*.

### 1.3 What Will Be Done In The Next Reporting Period To Accomplish These Goals

### 1.3.1 Task 1.0 – Project Management & Planning

- UT will continue to execute the project in accordance with the approved Project Management Plan (PMP) and Statement of Project Objectives (SOPO).
- UT will continue to manage and control project activities in accordance with their established processes and procedures to ensure subtasks and tasks are completed within schedule and budget constraints defined by the PMP.

# 1.3.2 Task 13.0 – Maintenance And Refinement Of Pressure Core Transport, Storage, & Manipulation Capability

- UT will continue to sample H002-08CS-1 in the next quarter.
- UT will continue to analyze the samples cut for the Hydrate Effective Stress Chamber.
- UT will prepare for a dedicated effort to conduct quantitative degassing of several H002-08CS-1 samples in the next quarter.
- The Mini-PCATS, PMRS, analytical equipment, and storage chambers will undergo continued observation and maintenance at regularly scheduled intervals and on an as-needed basis. Installation of new or replacement parts will continue to ensure operational readiness.

### 1.3.3 Task 16.0 – UT-GOM2-2 Scientific Drilling Program Field Operations

• Task complete.

### 1.3.4 Task 17.0 – UT-GOM2-2 Core Analysis

### 1.3.4.1.1 *Biostratigraphy*

- UT will continue to assess calcareous nannofossils as markers for the age of UT-GOM2-2 sediments and sediment deposition rates.
- USGS will finish their planktic foraminifer radiocarbon age model for the upper 15 m (50,000 years) and send out the first round of samples for benthic foraminifer oxygen-isotope analysis.

### 1.3.4.1.2 *Physical Properties*

### 1.3.4.1.2.1 Strength and Compression Behavior

- UT will begin experiments to measure the compressibility and permeability of pressure cores from the UT-GOM2-2 expedition. We will start analyzing core H002-08CS-01, where we interpret there is a section of hydrate-bearing sediment from the Orange Sand.
- Tufts University will continue work on triaxial tests of resedimented samples from whole round blended material.
- Tufts will continue working on a manuscript of the compression behavior.

### 1.3.4.1.2.2 Index Properties

• Tufts will continue working on Atterberg Limits.

### 1.3.4.1.2.3 Rock Magnetism

• USGS will being working on a paper summarizing the magnetics of WR313.

### 1.3.4.1.3 *Microbiology*

- Oregon State will make further determinations of potential microbial activities at different sediment depths, assessments of the key abiological parameters that constrain these cells.
- Oregon State will continue to work with other microbiologists who received samples from 2023 expedition at Walker Ridge 313 Site H.

### 1.3.4.1.4 *Geochemistry*

- UW will focus on the analysis of all pore water, seawater, drilling mud, and PCATS fluid samples for calcium, magnesium, sodium, and potassium concentrations as well as stable oxygen and hydrogen isotope ratios. In addition, all of the pore water chemical data produced so far will be corrected for PCATS fluid contamination.
- UNH will prep a subset of the ~450 Total S and Total C and Total N samples for del 34S isotope measurements.
- UNH will continue developing a method for isolating and measuring the amount of charcoal (wt. %) in the marine sediments using soil standard samples.

### 1.3.5 Task 18.0 – Project Data Analysis and Reporting

- UT will submit the proceedings volume to USGS for formal review. UT will address the suggested changes proposed during the USGS review of the Expedition Proceedings once the review is complete.
- UT will hire a publication editor to finalize the Proceedings text, figures, and layout.
- The project science team will continue checking data and creating datasets on Zenodo.

• UT will formulate a plan for a special volume looking at the trade-offs between a virtual collection of papers on our UT-GOM2-2 website (this would follow IODP's approach) and a special collection with ACS Energy and Fuels (similar to the Alaska project). Both have merit.

# 2 PRODUCTS

Project publications webpage:

https://ig.utexas.edu/energy/gom2-methane-hydrates-at-the-university-of-texas/gom2-publications/

### 2.1 Publications

- Bhandari, A.R., Cardona, A., Flemings, P.B., Germaine, J. T., 2024, The geomechanical response of the Gulf of Mexico Green Canyon 955 reservoir to gas hydrate dissociation: A model based on sediment properties with and without gas hyrdate, Marine and Petroleum Geology, Volume 167. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpetgeo.2024.107000
- Boswell, R., Collet, T.C., Cook, A.E., Flemings, P.B., 2020, Introduction to Special Issue: Gas Hydrates in Green Canyon Block 955, deep-water Gulf of Mexico: Part I: AAPG Bulletin, v. 104, no. 9, p. 1844-1846, <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1306/bltnintro062320</u>.
- Cardona A., Bhandari A., and Heidari M. and Flemings P.B., 2023, The viscoplastic behavior of natural hydrate bearing sediments under uniaxial strain compression (KO loading), Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth, v. 128, e2023JB026976, doi:10.1029/2023JB026976
- Chen, X., and Espinoza, D. N., 2018, Ostwald ripening changes the pore habit and spatial variability of clathrate hydrate: Fuel, v. 214, p. 614-622. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fuel.2017.11.065</u>
- Chen, X., Verma, R., Espinoza, D. N., and Prodanović, M., 2018, Pore-Scale Determination of Gas Relative Permeability in Hydrate-Bearing Sediments Using X-Ray Computed Micro-Tomography and Lattice Boltzmann Method: Water Resources Research, v. 54, no. 1, p. 600-608. <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/2017wr021851</u>
- Chen, X. Y., and Espinoza, D. N., 2018, Surface area controls gas hydrate dissociation kinetics in porous media: Fuel, v. 234, p. 358-363. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fuel.2018.07.030</u>
- Chen, X.Y., Espinoza, D. N., Tisato, N., Flemings, P. B., 2022, Gas Permeability, Pore Habit and Salinity Evolution during Methane Hydrate Dissociation in Sandy Sediments: Energy & Fuels. <u>https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.energyfuels.2c01720</u>
- Cook, A. E., and Portnov, A., 2019, Gas hydrates in coarse-grained reservoirs interpreted from velocity pull up: Mississippi Fan, Gulf of Mexico: COMMENT: Geology, v. 47, no. 3, p. e457-e457. <u>https://doi.org/10.1130/g45609c.1</u>
- Cook, A. E., and Sawyer, D. E., 2015, The mud-sand crossover on marine seismic data: Geophysics, v. 80, no. 6, p. A109-A114. <u>https://doi.org/10.1190/geo2015-0291.1</u>
- Cook, A. E., and Waite, W. F., 2018, Archie's Saturation Exponent for Natural Gas Hydrate in Coarse-Grained Reservoirs: Journal of Geophysical Research-Solid Earth v. 123, no. 3, p. 2069-2089. <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/2017jb015138</u>
- Daigle, H., Fang, Y., Phillips, S.C., Flemings, P.B., 2022, Pore structure of sediments from Green Canyon 955 determined by mercury intrusion: AAPG Bulletin, v. 106, no. 5, p. 1051-1069. <u>https://doi.org/10.1306/02262120123</u>
- Darnell, K. N., and Flemings, P. B., 2015, Transient seafloor venting on continental slopes from warming-induced methane hydrate dissociation: Geophysical Research Letters. <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/2015GL067012</u>
- Darnell, K. N., Flemings, P. B., and DiCarlo, D., 2019, Nitrogen-Driven Chromatographic Separation During Gas Injection Into Hydrate-Bearing Sediments: Water Resources Research. https://doi.org/10.1029/2018wr023414
- Ewton, E., 2019, The effects of X-ray CT scanning on microbial communities in sediment cores, Oregon State University.

- Fang, Y., Flemings, P. B., Daigle, H., Phillips, S. C., Meazell, P. K., and You, K., 2020, Petrophysical properties of the Green Canyon block 955 hydrate reservoir inferred from reconstituted sediments: Implications for hydrate formation and production: AAPG Bulletin, v. 104, no. 9, p. 1997–2028, https://doi.org/10.1306/01062019165
- Fang, Y., Flemings, P.B., Daigle, H., Phillips, S.C., O'Connel, J., 2022, Permeability of methane hydrate-bearing sandy silts in the deepwater Gulf of Mexico (Green Canyon block 955): AAPG Bulletin, v. 106, no. 5, p. 1071-1100. <u>https://doi.org/10.1306/08102121001</u>
- Fang, Y., Flemings, P.B., Germaine, J.T., Daigle, H., Phillips, S.C., 2022, Compression behavior of hydrate-bearing sediments: AAPG Bulletin, v. 106, no. 5, p. 1101-1126. https://doi.org/10.1306/01132221002
- Flemings, P. B., Phillips, S. C., Boswell, R., Collett, T. S., Cook, A. E., Dong, T., Frye, M., Guerin, G., Goldberg, D. S., Holland, M. E., Jang, J., Meazell, K., Morrison, J., O'Connell, J., Pettigrew, T., Petrou, E., Polito, P. J., Portnov, A., Santra, M., Schultheiss, P. J., Seol, Y., Shedd, W., Solomon, E. A., Thomas, C., Waite, W. F., and You, K., 2020, Pressure coring a Gulf of Mexico Deepwater Turbidite Gas Hydrate Reservoir: Initial results from the UT-GOM2-1 hydrate pressure coring expedition: AAPG Bulletin, v. 104, no. 9, p. 1847-1876. <u>https://doi.org/10.1306/05212019052</u>
- Flemings, P. B., Phillips, S. C., Collett, T., Cook, A., Boswell, R., and Scientists, U.-G.-E., 2018, UT-GOM2-1 Hydrate Pressure Coring Expedition Summary, *in* Flemings, P. B., Phillips, S. C., Collett, T., Cook, A., Boswell, R., and Scientists, U.-G.-E., eds., UT-GOM2-1 Hydrate Pressure Coring Expedition Report: Austin, TX, University of Texas Institute for Geophysics.
- Flemings, P.B., Cook, A.E., Collett, T., Boswell, R., 2022, Gas hydrates in Green Canyon Block 955, deep-water Gulf of Mexico: Part II, Insights and future challenges: AAPG Bulletin, v. 106, no. 5, p. 937-947. <u>https://doi.org/10.1306/bltnintro030922</u>
- Hillman, J. I. T., Cook, A. E., Daigle, H., Nole, M., Malinverno, A., Meazell, K., and Flemings, P. B., 2017, Gas hydrate reservoirs and gas migration mechanisms in the Terrebonne Basin, Gulf of Mexico: Marine and Petroleum Geology, v. 86, no. Supplement C, p. 1357-1373. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpetgeo.2017.07.029
- Hillman, J. I. T., Cook, A. E., Sawyer, D. E., Küçük, H. M., and Goldberg, D. S., 2017, The character and amplitude of 'discontinuous' bottom-simulating reflections in marine seismic data: Earth and Planetary Science Letters, v. 459, p. 157-169. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.epsl.2016.10.058</u>
- Johnson, J.E., MacLeod, D.R., Phillips, S.C., Purkey Phillips, M., Divins, D.L., 2022, Primary deposition and early diagenetic effects on the high saturation accumulation of gas hydrate in a silt dominated reservoir in the Gulf of Mexico: Marine Geology. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.margeo.2021.106718</u>
- MacLeod, D.R., 2020, Characterization of a silty methane-hydrate reservoir in the Gulf of Mexico: Analysis of full sediment grain size distributions: M.S. Thesis, pp. 165, University of New Hampshire, Durham NH, U.S.A.
- Majumdar, U., and Cook, A. E., 2018, The Volume of Gas Hydrate-Bound Gas in the Northern Gulf of Mexico: Geochemistry, Geophysics, Geosystems, v. 19, no. 11, p. 4313-4328. https://doi.org/10.1029/2018gc007865
- Majumdar, U., Cook, A. E., Shedd, W., and Frye, M., 2016, The connection between natural gas hydrate and bottom-simulating reflectors: Geophysical Research Letters. <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/2016GL069443</u>
- Meazell, K., Flemings, P., Santra, M., and Johnson, J. E., 2020, Sedimentology and stratigraphy of a deepwater gas hydrate reservoir in the northern Gulf of Mexico: AAPG Bulletin, v. 104, no. 9, p. 1945–1969, <u>https://doi.org/10.1306/05212019027</u>
- Meyer, D. W., 2018, Dynamics of gas flow and hydrate formation within the hydrate stability zone: Doctor of Philosophy, The University of Texas at Austin.
- Meyer, D. W., Flemings, P. B., and DiCarlo, D., 2018, Effect of Gas Flow Rate on Hydrate Formation Within the Hydrate Stability Zone: Journal of Geophysical Research-Solid Earth, v. 123, no. 8, p. 6263-6276. https://doi.org/10.1029/2018jb015878

- Meyer, D. W., Flemings, P. B., DiCarlo, D., You, K. H., Phillips, S. C., and Kneafsey, T. J., 2018, Experimental Investigation of Gas Flow and Hydrate Formation Within the Hydrate Stability Zone: Journal of Geophysical Research-Solid Earth, v. 123, no. 7, p. 5350-5371. <u>https://doi.org/10.1029/2018jb015748</u>
- Moore, M., Phillips, S., Cook, A.E. and Darrah, T., 2020, Improved sampling technique to collect natural gas from hydrate-bearing pressure cores: Applied Geochemistry.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apgeochem.2020.104773.

- Moore, M.T., Phillips, S.C., Cook, A.E., Darrah, T.H., 2022, Integrated geochemical approach to determine the source of methane in gas hydrate from Green Canyon Block 955 in the Gulf of Mexico: AAPG Bulletin, v. 106, no. 5, p. 949-980. <u>https://doi.org/10.1306/05272120087</u>
- Naim, F., Cook, A.E., Moortgat, J., 2023, Estimating P-wave Velocity and Bulk Density in Near-seafloor Sediments Using Machine Learning, Energies. <u>https://www.mdpi.com/1996-1073/16/23/7709</u>
- Oti, E.A., Cook, A.E., Phillips, S.C., Holland, M.E., 2022 Using X-ray Computed Tomography (XCT) to Estimate Hydrate Saturation in Sediment Cores from Green Canyon 955, northern Gulf of Mexico: AAPG Bulletin, v. 106, no. 5, p. 1127-1142. <u>https://doi.org/10.1306/05272120051</u>
- Phillips, S. C., Flemings, P. B., Holland, M. E., Schulthiss, P. J., Waite, W. F., Jang, J., Petrou, E. G., and H., H., 2020, High concentration methane hydrate in a silt reservoir from the deep-water Gulf of Mexico: AAPG Bulletin, v. 104, no. 9, p. 1971–1995. <u>https://doi.org/10.1306/01062018280</u>
- Phillips, S. C., Flemings, P. B., You, K., Meyer, D. W., and Dong, T., 2019, Investigation of in situ salinity and methane hydrate dissociation in coarse-grained sediments by slow, stepwise depressurization: Marine and Petroleum Geology, v. 109, p. 128-144. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpetgeo.2019.06.015</u>
- Phillips, S.C., Flemings, P.B., You, K., Waite, W.F., 2022, Thermodynamic insights into the production of methane hydrate reservoirs from depressurization of pressure cores AAPG Bulletin, v. 106, no. 5, p. 1025-1049. https://doi.org/10.1306/08182120216
- Portnov, A., You, K., Flemings, P.B., Cook, A.E., Heidari, M., Sawyer, D.E. and Bünz, S., 2023, Dating submarine landslides using the transient response of gas hydrate stability: Geology. DOI: 10.1130/G50930.
- Portnov, A., Flemings, P. B., You, K., Meazell, K., Hudec, M. R., and Dunlap, D. B., 2023, Low temperature and high pressure dramatically thicken the gas hydrate stability zone in rapidly formed sedimentary basins: Marine and Petroleum Geology, v. 158, p. 106550.
- Portnov, A., Cook, A. E., Heidari, M., Sawyer, D. E., Santra, M., and Nikolinakou, M., 2020, Salt-driven evolution of a gas hydrate reservoir in Green Canyon, Gulf of Mexico: AAPG Bulletin, v. 104, no. 9, p. 1903–1919, <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1306/10151818125</u>
- Portnov, A., Cook, A. E., Sawyer, D. E., Yang, C., Hillman, J. I. T., and Waite, W. F., 2019, Clustered BSRs: Evidence for gas hydrate-bearing turbidite complexes in folded regions, example from the Perdido Fold Belt, northern Gulf of Mexico: Earth and Planetary Science Letters, v. 528. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.epsl.2019.115843</u>
- Portnov, A., Santra, M., Cook., A.E., and Sawyer, D.E., 2020, The Jackalope gas hydrate system in the northeastern Gulf of Mexico: Journal of Marine and Petroleum Geology. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpetgeo.2019.08.036</u>
- Santra, M., Flemings, P., Meazell, K., and Scott, E., 2020, Evolution of Gas Hydrate-bearing Deepwater Channel-Levee System in Abyssal Gulf of Mexico – Levee Growth and Deformation: AAPG Bulletin, v. 104, no. 9, p. 1921–1944, <u>https://doi.org/10.1306/04251918177</u>
- Santra, M., Flemings, P.B., Heidari, M., You, K., 2022, Occurrence of High-Saturation Gas Hydrate in a Fault-Compartmentalized Anticline and the Role of Seal- Green Canyon, Abyssal Gulf of Mexico: AAPG Bulletin, v. 106, no. 5, p. 981-1003. <u>https://doi.org/10.1306/08182120149</u>
- Sawyer, D. E., Mason, R. A., Cook, A. E., and Portnov, A., 2019, Submarine Landslides Induce Massive Waves in Subsea Brine Pools: Scientific Reports, v. 9, no. 1, p. 128. <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-018-36781-7</u>

- Sheik, C. S., Reese, B. K., Twing, K. I., Sylvan, J. B., Grim, S. L., Schrenk, M. O., Sogin, M. L., and Colwell, F. S., 2018, Identification and Removal of Contaminant Sequences From Ribosomal Gene Databases: Lessons From the Census of Deep Life: Front Microbiol, v. 9, p. 840. <u>https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2018.00840</u>
- Smart, K., 2018, Modeling Well Log Responses in Hydrate Bearing Silts: The Ohio State University, Undergraduate Thesis.
- Smith, A. J., Flemings, P. B., Liu, X., and Darnell, K., 2014, The evolution of methane vents that pierce the hydrate stability zone in the world's oceans: Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth, p. 2013JB010686. <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/2013JB010686</u>
- Thomas, C., Phillips, S. C., Flemings, P. B., Santra, M., Hammon, H., Collett, T. S., Cook, A., Pettigrew, T., Mimitz, M., Holland, M., and Schultheiss, P., 2020, Pressure-coring operations during the University of Texas Hydrate Pressure Coring Expedition, UT-GOM2-1, in Green Canyon Block 955, northern Gulf of Mexico: AAPG Bulletin, v. 104, no. 9, p. 1877–1901. <u>https://doi.org/10.1306/02262019036</u>
- Tozier, K., 2024, Investigating Late Pleistocene to Recent Bimodal Sedimentation in the Terrebonne Basin, Gulf of Mexico. Master's Thesis, University of New Hampshire, p. 75.
- Varona, G. M., Flemings, P.B., Portnov, A., 2023, Hydrate-bearing sands record the transition from ponded deposition to bypass in the deep-water Gulf of Mexico, Marine and Petroleum Geology, v. 151. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpetgeo.2023.106172
- Wei, L., Cook, A., Daigle, H., Malinverno, A., Nole, M., and You, K., 2019, Factors Controlling Short-Range Methane Migration of Gas Hydrate Accumulations in Thin Coarse-Grained Layers: Geochemistry, Geophysics, Geosystems, v. 20, no. 8, p. 3985-4000. <u>https://doi.org/10.1029/2019gc008405</u>
- Wei, L., Cook, A.E., You, K., 2022, Methane migration mechanisms for the Green Canyon Block 955 gas hydrate reservoir, northern Gulf of Mexico: AAPG Bulletin, v. 106, no. 5, p. 1005-1023. https://doi.org/10.1306/06022120134
- Yoneda, J., Jin, Y., Muraoka, M., Oshima, M., Suzuki, K., Waite, W.F., Flemings, P.B., 2022, Comprehensive pressure core analysis for hydrate-bearing sediments from Gulf of Mexico Green Canyon Block 955, including assessments of geomechanical viscous behavior and NMR permeability: AAPG Bulletin, v. 106, no. 5, p. 1143-1177. https://doi.org/10.1306/04272120204
- You, K., Summa, L., Flemings, P., Santra, M., and Fang, Y., 2021, Three-Dimensional Free Gas Flow Focuses Basin Wide Microbial Methane to Concentrated Methane Hydrate Reservoirs in Geological System: Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth, v. 126, no. 12, p. e2021JB022793. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1029/2021JB022793
- You, K., and Flemings, P. B., 2018, Methane hydrate formation in thick sandstones by free gas flow: Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth, v. 123, p. 4582-4600. <u>https://doi.org/10.1029/2018JB015683</u>
- You, K., Flemings, P. B., Malinverno, A., Collett, T. S., and Darnell, K., 2019, Mechanisms of Methane Hydrate Formation in Geological Systems: Reviews of Geophysics, v. 0, no. ja. <u>https://doi.org/10.1029/2018rg000638</u>
- You, K., Kneafsey, T. J., Flemings, P. B., Polito, P., and Bryant, S. L., 2015, Salinity-buffered methane hydrate formation and dissociation in gas-rich systems: Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth, v. 120, no. 2, p. 643-661. <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/2014JB011190</u>
- You, K., Summa, L., Flemings, P. B., Santra, M., and Fang, Y., 2021, Three-dimensional free gas flow focuses basin-wide microbial methane to concentrated methane hydrate reservoirs in geological system, Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth, 126, e2021JB022793.
- You K., and Flemings, P. B., 2021, Methane hydrate formation and evolution during sedimentation, Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth, 126, e2020JB021235.

### 2.2 Conference Presentations/Abstracts

- Awwiller, D., Johnson, J. E., Purkey Phillips, M., Phillips, S., et al., 2024, Stratigraphy, sediment composition, and provenance of Pleistocene strata of the Terrebonne Basin, northern Gulf of Mexico. OS51E. Poster presented at the American Geophysical Union Fall Meeting, December 2024.
- Buser J. Z., Shannon, K., Morono, Y., Van Der Maal, C., et al, 2024, Microbial distribution in methane hydratecontaining deep sea sediments in the Terrebonne Basin, Gulf of Mexico. OS51E. Poster presented at the American Geophysical Union Fall Meeting, December 2024.
- Buser J.Z., Shannon K. and Colwell F., 2023, The Microbiome of Methane Hydrate-Bearing Sediments, a Global Meta-Analysis. OS21B-1425. Poster presented at the Fall Meeting of the American Geophysical Union. December 2023
- Cardona, A., Bhandari, A. R., Flemings, P. B., 2024, Heat flow in the Terrebonne Basin, Gulf of Mexico: establishing the gas hydrate stability zone. OS51E. Poster presented at the American Geophysical Union Fall Meeting, December 2024.
- Cardona, A., Fang, Y., You, K., and Flemings, P.B., 2023, Relative Permeability of Hydrate-Bearing Sediments: The Critical Role of Hydrate Dissolution. OS21B-1418. Poster presented at the Fall Meeting of the American Geophysical Union. December 2023.
- Cardona, A., Bhandari, A., and Flemings, P. B., 2022, Creep and stress relaxation behavior of hydrate-bearing sediments: implications for stresses during production and geological sedimentation. Presented at American Geophysical Union, Fall Meeting, Chicago, IL.
- Colwell, F., Kiel Reese, B., Mullis, M., Buser-Young, J., Glass, J.B., Waite, W., Jang, J., Dai, S., and Phillips, S., 2020, Microbial Communities in Hydrate-Bearing Sediments Following Long-Term Pressure Preservation. Presented as a poster at 2020 Gordon Research Conference on Gas Hydrates
- Collett, T., Boswell, R., Shukla, K., Flemings, P.B., and Tamaki, M., 2023, Characterization of deepwater marine depositional systems associated with highly concentrated gas hydrate accumulations in coarse-grained reservoirs. Abstract ID 61. Oral talk presented at International Gas Hydrates Conference (ICGH10). July 2023.
- Chen X., Espinoza, D.N., Tisato, N., and Flemings, P.B., 2018, X-Ray Micro-CT Observation of Methane Hydrate Growth in Sandy Sediments. Presented at the AGU Fall Meeting 2018, Dec. 10–14, in Washington D.C.
- Cook, A., Phillips, S., Flemings, P. B., Collet, T., Thomas, C., Frye, M., and McConnell, D., 2024, Comparing high saturation hydrate-bearing sand reservoirs in the northern Gulf of Mexico. OS51E. Poster presented at the American Geophysical Union Fall Meeting, December 2024.
- Cook, A., Waite, W. F., Spangenberg, E., and Heeschen, K.U., 2018, Petrophysics in the lab and the field: how can we understand gas hydrate pore morphology and saturation? Invited talk presented at the American Geophysical Union Fall Meeting, Washington D.C.
- Cook, A.E., and Waite, B., 2016, Archie's saturation exponent for natural gas hydrate in coarse-grained reservoir. Presented at Gordon Research Conference, Galveston, TX.
- Cook, A.E., Hillman, J., Sawyer, D., Treiber, K., Yang, C., Frye, M., Shedd, W., Palmes, S., 2016, Prospecting for Natural Gas Hydrate in the Orca & Choctaw Basins in the Northern Gulf of Mexico. Poster presented at American Geophysical Union, Fall Meeting, San Francisco, CA.
- Cook, A.E., Hillman, J., & Sawyer, D., 2015, Gas migration in the Terrebonne Basin gas hydrate system. Abstract OS23D-05 presented at American Geophysical Union, Fall Meeting, San Francisco, CA.

- Cook, A. E., & Sawyer, D., 2015, Methane migration in the Terrebonne Basin gas hydrate system, Gulf of Mexico. Presented at American Geophysical Union, Fall Meeting, San Francisco, CA.
- Coyte, R., Wulsin, G., Phillips, S., Darrah, T., et al., 2024, Using noble gas tracers to constrain the residence time of methane gas hydrates in the Gulf of Mexico. OS51E. Poster presented at the American Geophysical Union Fall Meeting, December 2024.
- Darnell, K., Flemings, P.B., DiCarlo, D.A., 2016, Nitrogen-assisted Three-phase Equilibrium in Hydrate Systems Composed of Water, Methane, Carbon Dioxide, and Nitrogen. Presented at American Geophysical Union, Fall Meeting, San Francisco, CA.
- DiCarlo, D., Murphy, Z., You, K. and Flemings, P.B., 2023, Pore Occupancy of Gas Hydrate. OS23A-06. Oral talk presented at the Fall Meeting of the American Geophysical Union. December 2023.
- Dong, T., Lin, J. -F., Flemings, P. B., Gu, J. T., Polito, P. J., O'Connell, J., 2018, Pore-Scale Methane Hydrate Formation under Pressure and Temperature Conditions of Natural Reservoirs. Presented to the AGU Fall Meeting 2018, Washington D.C., 10-14 December.
- Ewton, E., Klasek, S., Peck, E., Wiest, J. Colwell F., 2019, The effects of X-ray computed tomography scanning on microbial communities in sediment cores. Poster presented at AGU Fall Meeting.
- Erica Ewton et al., 2018, The effects of X-ray CT scanning on microbial communities in sediment cores. Poster presented at American Geophysical Union, Fall Meeting, Washington, D.C. OS23D-1657
- Espinoza D.N., Chen X., Luo J.S., Tisato N., Flemings P.B., 2010, X-Ray Micro-CT Observation of Methane Hydrate Growth and Dissociation in Sandy Sediments. Presented to the Engineering Mechanics Institute Conference 2019, Pasadena, CA, 19 June.
- Fang, Y., et al., 2020, Petrophysical Properties of Hydrate-Bearing Siltstone from UT-GOM2-1 Pressure Cores.
   Presented at the AAPG virtual Conference, Oct 1, Theme 9: Analysis of Natural Gas Hydrate Systems I & II
- Fang, Y., et al., 2018, Permeability, compression behavior, and lateral stress ration of hydrate-bearing siltstone from UT-GOM2-1 pressure core (GC-955 – northern Gulf of Mexico): Initial Results. Poster presented at American Geophysical Union, Fall Meeting, Washington, D.C. OS23D-1650
- Fang, Y., Flemings, P.B., Daigle, H., O'Connell, J., Polito, P., 2018, Measure permeability of natural hydratebearing sediments using K0 permeameter. Presented at Gordon Research Conference on Gas Hydrate, Galveston, TX. Feb 24- Mar 02, 2018.
- Flemings, P. B., Thomas, C., Phillips, S., Collett, T. S., et al., 2024, Exploring the methane hydrate system through coring in the deepwater Gulf of Mexico: the UT-GOM2-2 Expedition. OS54B-01. Talk presented at the American Geophysical Union Fall Meeting, December 2024.
- Flemings, P. B., Fang, Y., You, K., and Cardona, A., 2022, The Water Relative Permeability Behavior of Hydratebearing Sediment. Presented at American Geophysical Union, Fall Meeting, Chicago, IL.
- Flemings, P.B., et al., 2020, Pressure Coring a Gulf of Mexico Deep-Water Turbidite Gas Hydrate Reservoir: The UT-GOM2-1 Hydrate Pressure Coring Expedition. Presented at the AAPG virtual Conference, Oct 1, Theme 9: Analysis of Natural Gas Hydrate Systems I & II
- Flemings, P., Phillips, S., and the UT-GOM2-1 Expedition Scientists, 2018, Recent results of pressure coring hydrate-bearing sands in the deepwater Gulf of Mexico: Implications for formation and production. Talk presented at the 2018 Gordon Research Conference on Natural Gas Hydrate Systems, Galveston, TX, February 24-March 2, 2018.

- Fortin, W., 2018, Waveform Inversion and Well Log Examination at GC955 and WR313 in the Gulf of Mexico for Estimation of Methane Hydrate Concentrations. Presented at Gordon Research Conference on Natural Gas Hydrate Systems, Galveston, TX.
- Fortin, W., Goldberg, D.S., Küçük, H. M., 2017, Prestack Waveform Inversion and Well Log Examination at GC955 and WR313 in the Gulf of Mexico for Estimation of Methane Hydrate Concentrations. EOS Trans. American Geophysical Union, Fall Meeting, New Orleans, LA.
- Fortin, W., 2016, Properties from Seismic Data. Presented at IODP planning workshop, Southern Methodist University, Dallas, TX.
- Fortin, W., Goldberg, D.S., Holbrook, W.S., and Küçük, H.M., 2016, Velocity analysis of gas hydrate systems using prestack waveform inversion. Presented at Gordon Research Conference on Natural Gas Hydrate Systems, Galveston, TX.
- Fortin, W., Goldberg, D.S., Küçük, H.M., 2016, Methane Hydrate Concentrations at GC955 and WR313 Drilling Sites in the Gulf of Mexico Determined from Seismic Prestack Waveform Inversion. EOS Trans. American Geophysical Union, Fall Meeting, San Francisco, CA.
- Goldberg, D., Küçük, H.M., Haines, S., Guerin, G., 2016, Reprocessing of high resolution multichannel seismic
   data in the Gulf of Mexico: implications for BSR character in the Walker Ridge and Green Canyon areas.
   Presented at Gordon Research Conference on Natural Gas Hydrate Systems, Galveston, TX.
- Hammon, H., Phillips, S., Flemings, P., and the UT-GOM2-1 Expedition Scientists, 2018, Drilling-induced disturbance within methane hydrate pressure cores in the northern Gulf of Mexico. Poster presented at the 2018 Gordon Research Conference and Seminar on Natural Gas Hydrate Systems, Galveston, TX, February 24-March 2, 2018.
- Heber, R., Kinash, N., Cook, A., Sawyer, D., Sheets, J., and Johnson, J.E., 2017, Mineralogy of Gas Hydrate Bearing Sediment in Green Canyon Block 955 Northern Gulf of Mexico. Abstract OS53B-1206 presented at American Geophysical Union, Fall Meeting, New Orleans, LA.
- Hillman, J., Cook, A. & Sawyer, D., 2016, Mapping and characterizing bottom-simulating reflectors in 2D and 3D seismic data to investigate connections to lithology and frequency dependence. Presented at Gordon Research Conference, Galveston, TX.
- Johnson, J., Phillips, S., Purkey Phillips, M., Awwiller, D., et al., 2024, Sediment geochemistry and early diagenesis in the Terrebonne Basin, Gulf of Mexico. OS51E. Poster presented at the American Geophysical Union Fall Meeting, December 2024.
- Johnson, J., et al., 2020, Grain Size, TOC, and TS in Gas Hydrate Bearing Turbidite Facies at Green Canyon Site 955, Gulf of Mexico. Presented at the AAPG virtual Conference, Oct 1, Theme 9: Analysis of Natural Gas Hydrate Systems I & II
- Johnson, J.E., Phillips, S.C., and Divins, D.L., 2018, Tracking AOM through TOC and Elemental S: Implications for Methane Charge in Gulf of Mexico Marine Sediments. Abstract OS13A-08 presented at 2018 Fall Meeting, AGU, San Francisco, Calif., 14-18 Dec. Oral Presentation
- Johnson, J., 2018, High Porosity and Permeability Gas Hydrate Reservoirs: A Sedimentary Perspective. Presented at Gordon Research Conference on Natural Gas Hydrate Systems, Galveston, TX.
- Kinash, N. Cook, A., Sawyer, D. and Heber, R., 2017, Recovery and Lithologic Analysis of Sediment from Hole UT-GOM2-1-H002, Green Canyon 955, Northern Gulf of Mexico. Abstract OS53B-1207 presented at American Geophysical Union, Fall Meeting, New Orleans, LA.

- Küçük, H.M., Goldberg, D.S, Haines, S., Dondurur, D., Guerin, G., and Çifçi, G., 2016, Acoustic investigation of shallow gas and gas hydrates: comparison between the Black Sea and Gulf of Mexico. Presented at Gordon Research Conference on Natural Gas Hydrate Systems, Galveston, TX.
- Kumar, A., Cook, A. E., and the UT-GOM2-2 Scientists, 2024, An unusual seafloor sand in the Terrebonne Basin, Gulf of Mexico. OS51E. Poster presented at the American Geophysical Union Fall Meeting, December 2024.
- Kumar, A., Cook, A., Portnov, A., Palmes, S., Frye, M. and Lawal, M., 2023, Bottom Simulating Reflections and Pockmark Distribution in the Northern Gulf of Mexico. OS21B-1412. Poster presented at the Fall Meeting of the American Geophysical Union. December 2023.
- Liu, J. et al., 2018, Pore-scale CH4-C2H6 hydrate formation and dissociation under relevant pressuretemperature conditions of natural reservoirs. Poster presented at American Geophysical Union, Fall Meeting, Washington, D.C. OS23D-2824
- Malinverno, A., Cook, A. E., Daigle, H., Oryan, B., 2017, Methane Hydrate Formation from Enhanced Organic Carbon Burial During Glacial Lowstands: Examples from the Gulf of Mexico. EOS Trans. American Geophysical Union, Fall Meeting, New Orleans, LA.
- Malinverno, A., 2016, Modeling gas hydrate formation from microbial methane in the Terrebonne basin, Walker Ridge, Gulf of Mexico. Presented at Gordon Research Conference on Natural Gas Hydrate Systems, Galveston, TX.
- Martin, S., Cook, A. E., Holland, M. E., Thomas, C., et al., 2024, Insights from X-ray computed tomography on core from the Terrebonne Basin, Gulf of Mexico. OS51E. Poster presented at the American Geophysical Union Fall Meeting, December 2024.
- Meazell, K., and Flemings, P.B., 2021, Seal capacity and fluid expulsion in hydrate systems. Presented at IMAGE 2021, SEG/AAPG Annual Conference. Denver, Colorado. Theme 9: Hydrocarbons of the future.
- Meazell, K., Flemings, P. B., Santra, M., and the UT-GOM2-01 Scientists, 2018, Sedimentology of the clastic hydrate reservoir at GC 955, Gulf of Mexico. Presented at Gordon Research Conference on Natural Gas Hydrate Systems, Galveston, TX.
- Meazell, K., & Flemings, P.B., 2016, Heat Flux and Fluid Flow in the Terrebonne Basin, Northern Gulf of Mexico. Presented at American Geophysical Union, Fall Meeting, San Francisco, CA.
- Meazell, K., & Flemings, P.B., 2016, New insights into hydrate-bearing clastic sediments in the Terrebonne basin, northern Gulf of Mexico. Presented at Gordon Research Conference on Natural Gas Hydrate Systems, Galveston, TX.
- Meazell, K., & Flemings, P.B., 2016, The depositional evolution of the Terrebonne basin, northern Gulf of Mexico. Presented at 5th Annual Jackson School Research Symposium, University of Texas at Austin, Austin, TX.
- Meazell, K., 2015, Methane hydrate-bearing sediments in the Terrebonne basin, northern Gulf of Mexico. Abstract OS23B-2012 presented at American Geophysical Union, Fall Meeting, San Francisco, CA.
- Moore, M., Darrah, T., Cook, A., Sawyer, D., Phillips, S., Whyte, C., Lary, B., and UT-GOM2-01 Scientists, 2017, The genetic source and timing of hydrocarbon formation in gas hydrate reservoirs in Green Canyon, Block GC955. Abstract OS44A-03 presented at American Geophysical Union, Fall Meeting, New Orleans, LA.

- Morrison, J., Flemings, P., and the UT-GOM2-1 Expedition Scientists, 2018, Hydrate Coring in Deepwater Gulf of Mexico, USA. Poster presented at the 2018 Gordon Research Conference on Natural Gas Hydrate Systems, Galveston, TX.
- Murphy, Z., Flemings, P.B., DiCarlo, D., and You, K, 2022, Simultaneous CH4 Production and CO2 Storage in Hydrate Reservoirs. Presented at American Geophysical Union, Fall Meeting, Chicago, IL.
- Murphy, Z., et al., 2018, Three phase relative permeability of hydrate bearing sediments. Poster presented at American Geophysical Union, Fall Meeting, Washington, D.C. OS23D-1647
- Naim, F., Cook, A., Konwar, D., 2021, Estimating P-wave velocity and Bulk Density in Hydrate Systems using Machine Learning: in IMAGE 2021, SEG/AAPG Annual Conference. Denver, Colorado
- Naim, F., Cook, A.E., Moortgat, J., 2023, Estimating P-wave Velocity and Bulk Density in Near-seafloor Sediments Using Machine Learning: Energies. 16(23) doi:10.3390/en16237709. https://www.mdpi.com/1996-1073/16/23/7709
- Oryan, B., Malinverno, A., Goldberg, D., Fortin, W., 2017, Do Pleistocene glacial-interglacial cycles control methane hydrate formation? An example from Green Canyon, Gulf of Mexico. EOS Trans. American Geophysical Union, Fall Meeting, New Orleans, LA.
- Oti, E., Cook, A., Phillips, S., and Holland, M., 2019, Using X-ray Computed Tomography (XCT) to Estimate Hydrate Saturation in Sediment Cores from UT-GOM2-1 H005, Green Canyon 955 (Invited talk, U11C-17). Presented to the AGU Fall Meeting, San Francisco, CA.
- Oti, E., Cook. A., Phillips, S., Holland, M., Flemings, P., 2018, Using X-ray computed tomography to estimate hydrate saturation in sediment cores from Green Canyon 955 Gulf of Mexico. Talk presented at the American Geophysical Union Fall Meeting, Washington D.C.
- Oti, E., Cook, A., 2018, Non-Destructive X-ray Computed Tomography (XCT) of Previous Gas Hydrate Bearing Fractures in Marine Sediment. Presented at Gordon Research Conference on Natural Gas Hydrate Systems, Galveston, TX.
- Oti, E., Cook, A., Buchwalter, E., and Crandall, D., 2017, Non-Destructive X-ray Computed Tomography (XCT) of Gas Hydrate Bearing Fractures in Marine Sediment. Abstract OS44A-05 presented at American Geophysical Union, Fall Meeting, New Orleans, LA.
- Phillips S., Coyte, R., Casso, M., You, K., et al., 2024, Accumulation of microbial methane in hemipelagic sediments of the Terrebonne Basin, northern Gulf of Mexico. OS54B-02. Invited talk presented at the American Geophysical Union Fall Meeting, December 2024.
- Phillips S., and Johnson, J., 2023, Tectono-sedimentary controls on early diagenetic methane cycling in the Cascadia accretionary wedge. OS21B-1424. Poster presented at the Fall Meeting of the American Geophysical Union. December 2023.
- Phillips, S.C., et al., 2020, High Concentration Methane Hydrate in a Silt Reservoir from the Deep-Water Gulf of Mexico. Presented at the AAPG virtual Conference, Oct 1, Theme 9: Analysis of Natural Gas Hydrate Systems I & II
- Phillips, S.C., Formolo, M.J., Wang, D.T., Becker, S.P., and Eiler, J.M., 2020. Methane isotopologues in a highconcentration gas hydrate reservoir in the northern Gulf of Mexico. Goldschmidt Abstracts 2020. <u>https://goldschmidtabstracts.info/2020/2080.pdf</u>
- Phillips, S.C., 2019, Pressure coring in marine sediments: Insights into gas hydrate systems and future directions. Presented to the GSA Annual Meeting 2019, Phoenix, Arizona, 22-25 September. <u>https://gsa.confex.com/gsa/2019AM/meetingapp.cgi/Paper/338173</u>

- Phillips et al., 2018, High saturation of methane hydrate in a coarse-grained reservoir in the northern Gulf of Mexico from quantitative depressurization of pressure cores. Poster presented at American Geophysical Union, Fall Meeting, Washington, D.C. OS23D-1654
- Phillips, S.C., Flemings, P.B., Holland, M.E., Schultheiss, P.J., Waite, W.F., Petrou, E.G., Jang, J., Polito, P.J.,
   O'Connell, J., Dong, T., Meazell, K., and Expedition UT-GOM2-1 Scientists, 2017, Quantitative degassing of gas hydrate-bearing pressure cores from Green Canyon 955. Gulf of Mexico. Talk and poster presented at the 2018 Gordon Research Conference and Seminar on Natural Gas Hydrate Systems, Galveston, TX, February 24-March 2, 2018.
- Phillips, S.C., Borgfedlt, T., You, K., Meyer, D., and Flemings, P., 2016, Dissociation of laboratory-synthesized methane hydrate by depressurization. Poster presented at Gordon Research Conference and Gordon Research Seminar on Natural Gas Hydrates, Galveston, TX.
- Phillips, S.C., You, K., Borgfeldt, T., Meyer, D.W., Dong, T., Flemings, P.B., 2016, Dissociation of Laboratory-Synthesized Methane Hydrate in Coarse-Grained Sediments by Slow Depressurization. Presented at American Geophysical Union, Fall Meeting, San Francisco, CA.
- Purkey-Phillips, M., Johnson, J. E., Phillips, S., Tozier, K., 2024, High-resolution calcareous nannofossil biostratigraphy in the Terrebonne Basin, northern Gulf of Mexico. OS51E. Poster presented at the American Geophysical Union Fall Meeting, December 2024.
- Portnov, A., Flemings, P.B., and Meazell, K. Anomalously Deep Gas Hydrate Stability Zone In Rapidly Formed Sedimentary Basins. Poster presented at the Offshore Technology Conference (OTC). May 2023.
- Portnov, A., Flemings, P. B., You, K., Meazell, K., Hudec, M. R., and Dunlap, D. B., 2023, Low temperature and high pressure dramatically thicken the gas hydrate stability zone in rapidly formed sedimentary basins: Marine and Petroleum Geology, v. 158, p. 106550.
- Portnov, A., Cook, A. E., Frye, M. C., Palmes, S. L., Skopec, S., 2021, Prospecting for Gas Hydrate Using Public Geophysical Data in the Northern Gulf of Mexico. Presented at in IMAGE 2021, SEG/AAPG Annual Conference. Denver, Colorado. Theme 9: Hydrocarbons of the future.
- Portnov A., et al., 2018, Underexplored gas hydrate reservoirs associated with salt diapirism and turbidite deposition in the Northern Gulf of Mexico. Poster presented at American Geophysical Union, Fall Meeting, Washington, D.C. OS51F-1326
- Portnov, A., Cook, A., Heidari, M., Sawyer, D., Santra, M., Nikolinakou, M., 2018, Salt-driven Evolution of Gas Hydrate Reservoirs in the Deep-sea Gulf of Mexico. Presented at Gordon Research Conference on Natural Gas Hydrate Systems, Galveston, TX.
- Santra, M., et al., 2020, Gas Hydrate in a Fault-Compartmentalized Anticline and the Role of Seal, Green Canyon, Abyssal Northern Gulf of Mexico. Presented at the AAPG virtual Conference, Oct 1, Theme 9: Analysis of Natural Gas Hydrate Systems I & II
- Santra, M., et al., 2018, Channel-levee hosted hydrate accumulation controlled by a faulted anticline: Green Canyon, Gulf of Mexico. Poster presented at American Geophysical Union, Fall Meeting, Washington, D.C. OS51F-1324
- Santra, M., Flemings, P., Scott, E., Meazell, K., 2018, Evolution of Gas Hydrate Bearing Deepwater Channel-Levee System in Green Canyon Area in Northern Gulf of Mexico. Presented at Gordon Research Conference and Gordon Research Seminar on Natural Gas Hydrates, Galveston, TX.
- Sawyer, D., Germaine, J., Cardona, A., Bhandari, A. R., et al., 2024, Sediment shear strength properties within the hydrate stability zone: results from the Gulf of Mexico Deepwater Hydrate Coring Expedition,

Terrebonne Basin, Gulf of Mexico. OS51E. Poster presented at the American Geophysical Union Fall Meeting, December 2024.

- Small., C., Germaine, J., Cook, A. E., Cardona, A., et al., 2024, Characterization of the compressive behavior of sediment in the Terrebonne Basin, Gulf of Mexico. OS51E. Poster presented at the American Geophysical Union Fall Meeting, December 2024.
- Small., C., Germaine, J., Johnson, J. E., Cook, A. E., et al., 2024, Downhole variation of porosity and grainsize in the Terrebonne Basin, Gulf of Mexico. OS51E. Poster presented at the American Geophysical Union Fall Meeting, December 2024.
- Solomon, E., Walton, T., Tsang, M., Aylward, I., et al., 2024, Geochemical constraints on the genesis of methane hydrates in the Terrebonne Basin, Gulf of Mexico. OS51E. Poster presented at the American Geophysical Union Fall Meeting, December 2024.
- Thomas, C., Flemings, P. B., Cook. A. E., Phillips, S., et al., 2024, Pressure coring in the Terrebonne Basin, Gulf of Mexico. OS51E. Poster presented at the American Geophysical Union Fall Meeting, December 2024.
- Tozier, K., Johnson, J. E., Phillips, S., Purkey Phillips, M., et al., 2024, Investigating Late Pleistocene to Recent Bimodal Sedimentation in the Terrebonne Basin, Gulf of Mexico. OS51E. Poster presented at the American Geophysical Union Fall Meeting, December 2024.
- Tozier, K., 2024, Investigating Late Pleistocene to Recent Bimodal Sedimentation in the Terrebonne Basin, Gulf of Mexico. Master's Thesis, University of New Hampshire, p. 75.
- Treiber, K, Sawyer, D., & Cook, A., 2016, Geophysical interpretation of gas hydrates in Green Canyon Block 955, northern Gulf of Mexico, USA. Poster presented at Gordon Research Conference, Galveston, TX.
- Van der Maal, C., Flemings, P., Mills, T., Johnson, J., Greiner, K., 2024, Characterizing the Orange Sand in the Deepwater Gulf of Mexico. Poster presented at UT GeoFluids Annual Meeting, February, 2024
- Varona, G., Flemings, P.B., Santra, M., Meazell, K., 2021, Paleogeographic evolution of the Green Sand, WR313. Presented at in IMAGE 2021, SEG/AAPG Annual Conference. Denver, Colorado. Theme 9 Gas Hydrates and Helium Sourcing.
- Wei, L., Malinverno, A., Colwell, R., and Goldberg, D, 2022, Reactive Transport Modeling of Microbial Dynamics in Marine Methane Hydrate Systems. Presented at American Geophysical Union, Fall Meeting, Chicago, IL.
- Wei, L. and Cook, A., 2019, Methane Migration Mechanisms and Hydrate Formation at GC955, Northern Gulf of Mexico. Abstract OS41B-1668 presented to the AGU Fall Meeting, San Francisco, CA.
- Wei, L., Cook, A. and You, K., 2020, Methane Migration Mechanisms for the GC955 Gas Hydrate Reservoir, Northern Gulf of Mexico. Abstract OS029-0008. AGU 2020 Fall Meeting
- Worman, S. and, Flemings, P.B., 2016, Genesis of Methane Hydrate in Coarse-Grained Systems: Northern Gulf of Mexico Slope (GOM^2). Poster presented at The University of Texas at Austin, GeoFluids Consortia Meeting, Austin, TX.
- Yang, C., Cook, A., & Sawyer, D., 2016, Geophysical interpretation of the gas hydrate reservoir system at the Perdido Site, northern Gulf of Mexico. Presented at Gordon Research Conference, Galveston, TX, United States.
- You, K., Thomas, C., Savage, A., Murphy, Z., O'Connell, J., Flemings, P.B., 2023, Dissolved methane diffusion drives hydrate-bearing pressure core degradation during long-term storage in water. Poster presented at International Gas Hydrates Conference (ICGH10). July 2023.

- You, K., Portnov, A., Flemings, P.B., 2023, Methane dynamics associated with the thawing subsea permafrost since the Last Glacial maximum. Abstract ID 250. Oral talk presented at International Gas Hydrates Conference (ICGH10). July 2023.
- You, K., Flemings, P.B. and DiCarlo, D., 2023, Thermal and Hydraulic Controls on Gas Production from Methane Hydrate Reservoirs. OS21B-1421. Poster presented at the Fall Meeting of the American Geophysical Union. December 2023.
- You, K., Phillips, S., Flemings, P.B., Colwell, F.S., and Mikucki, J., 2022, Coarse-Grained Sediments are Potential Microbial Methane Factories in Marine Sediments. Presented at American Geophysical Union, Fall Meeting, Chicago, IL.
- You, K., M. Santra, L. Summa, and P.B. Flemings, 2020, Impact of focused free gas flow and microbial methanogenesis kinetics on the formation and evolution of geological gas hydrate system, Abstract presented at 2020 AGU Fall Meeting, 1-17 Dec, Virtual
- You, K., et al. 2020, Impact of Coupled Free Gas Flow and Microbial Methanogenesis on the Formation and Evolution of Concentrated Hydrate Deposits. Presented at the AAPG virtual Conference, Oct 1, Theme 9: Analysis of Natural Gas Hydrate Systems I & II
- You, K., Flemings, P. B., and Santra, M., 2018, Formation of lithology-dependent hydrate distribution by capillary-controlled gas flow sourced from faults. Poster presented at American Geophysical Union, Fall Meeting, Washington, D.C. OS31F-1864
- You, K., and Flemings, P. B., 2018, Methane Hydrate Formation in Thick Marine Sands by Free Gas Flow. Presented at Gordon Research Conference on Gas Hydrate, Galveston, TX. Feb 24- Mar 02, 2018.
- You, K., Flemings, P.B., 2016, Methane Hydrate Formation in Thick Sand Reservoirs: Long-range Gas Transport or Short-range Methane Diffusion? Presented at American Geophysical Union, Fall Meeting, San Francisco, CA.
- You, K.Y., DiCarlo, D. & Flemings, P.B., 2015, Quantifying methane hydrate formation in gas-rich environments using the method of characteristics. Abstract OS23B-2005 presented at 2015, Fall Meeting, AGU, San Francisco, CA, 14-18 Dec.
- You, K.Y., Flemings, P.B., & DiCarlo, D., 2015, Quantifying methane hydrate formation in gas-rich environments using the method of characteristics. Poster presented at 2016 Gordon Research Conference and Gordon Research Seminar on Natural Gas Hydrates, Galveston, TX.

# 2.3 Proceeding of the UT-GOM2-1 Hydrate Pressure Coring Expedition

Volume contents are published on the <u>UT-GOM2-1 Expedition website</u> and on <u>OSTI.gov</u>.

## 2.3.1 Volume Reference

Flemings, P.B., Phillips, S.C, Collett, T., Cook, A., Boswell, R., and the UT-GOM2-1 Expedition Scientists, Proceedings of the UT-GOM2-1 Hydrate Pressure Coring Expedition, Austin, TX (University of Texas Institute for Geophysics, TX), https://dx.doi.org/10.2172/1646019

### 2.3.2 Prospectus

Flemings, P.B., Boswell, R., Collett, T.S., Cook, A. E., Divins, D., Frye, M., Guerin, G., Goldberg, D.S., Malinverno, A., Meazell, K., Morrison, J., Pettigrew, T., Philips, S.C., Santra, M., Sawyer, D., Shedd, W., Thomas, C., You, K. GOM2: Prospecting, Drilling and Sampling Coarse-Grained Hydrate Reservoirs in the Deepwater Gulf of Mexico. Proceeding of ICGH-9. Denver, Colorado: ICGH, 2017. http://www-udc.ig.utexas.edu/gom2/UT-GOM2-1%20Prospectus.pdf.

### 2.3.3 Expedition Report Chapters

- Flemings, P.B., Phillips, S.C, Collett, T., Cook, A., Boswell, R., and the UT-GOM2-1 Expedition Scientists, 2018. UT-GOM2-1 Hydrate Pressure Coring Expedition Summary. In Flemings, P.B., Phillips, S.C, Collett, T., Cook, A., Boswell, R., and the UT-GOM2-1 Expedition Scientists, Proceedings of the UT-GOM2-1 Hydrate Pressure Coring Expedition, Austin, TX (University of Texas Institute for Geophysics, TX). https://dx.doi.org/10.2172/1647223.
- Flemings, P.B., Phillips, S.C, Collett, T., Cook, A., Boswell, R., and the UT-GOM2-1 Expedition Scientists, 2018. UT-GOM2-1 Hydrate Pressure Coring Expedition Methods. In Flemings, P.B., Phillips, S.C, Collett, T., Cook, A., Boswell, R., and the UT-GOM2-1 Expedition Scientists, Proceedings of the UT-GOM2-1 Hydrate Pressure Coring Expedition: Austin, TX (University of Texas Institute for Geophysics, TX). https://dx.doi.org/10.2172/1647226
- Flemings, P.B., Phillips, S.C, Collett, T., Cook, A., Boswell, R., and the UT-GOM2-1 Expedition Scientists, 2018. UT-GOM2-1 Hydrate Pressure Coring Expedition Hole GC 955 H002. In Flemings, P.B., Phillips, S.C, Collett, T., Cook, A., Boswell, R., and the UT-GOM2-1 Expedition Scientists, Proceedings of the UT-GOM2-1 Hydrate Pressure Coring Expedition: Austin, TX (University of Texas Institute for Geophysics, TX). https://dx.doi.org/10.2172/1648313
- Flemings, P.B., Phillips, S.C, Collett, T., Cook, A., Boswell, R., and the UT-GOM2-1 Expedition Scientists, 2018. UT-GOM2-1 Hydrate Pressure Coring Expedition Hole GC 955 H005. In Flemings, P.B., Phillips, S.C, Collett, T., Cook, A., Boswell, R., and the UT-GOM2-1 Expedition Scientists, Proceedings of the UT-GOM2-1 Hydrate Pressure Coring Expedition: Austin, TX (University of Texas Institute for Geophysics, TX). https://dx.doi.org/10.2172/1648318

### 2.3.4 Data Reports

- Fortin, W.F.J., Goldberg, D.S., Küçük, H.M., 2020, Data Report: Prestack Waveform Inversion at GC 955: Trials and sensitivity of PWI to high-resolution seismic data, In Flemings, P.B., Phillips, S.C, Collett, T., Cook, A., Boswell, R., and the UT-GOM2-1 Expedition Scientists, Proceedings of the UT-GOM2-1 Hydrate Pressure Coring Expedition: Austin, TX (University of Texas Institute for Geophysics, TX). http://dx.doi.org/10.2172/1647733, 7 p.
- Heber, R., Cook, A., Sheets, J., Sawyer, 2020. Data Report: High-Resolution Microscopy Images of Sediments from Green Canyon Block 955, Gulf of Mexico. In Flemings, P.B., Phillips, S.C, Collett, T., Cook, A., Boswell, R., and the UT-GOM2-1 Expedition Scientists, Proceedings of the UT-GOM2-1 Hydrate Pressure

Coring Expedition: Austin, TX (University of Texas Institute for Geophysics, TX). https://dx.doi.org/10.2172/1648312, 6 p.

- Heber, R., Cook, A., Sheets, J., and Sawyer, D., 2020. Data Report: X-Ray Diffraction of Sediments from Green Canyon Block 955, Gulf of Mexico. In Flemings, P.B., Phillips, S.C, Collett, T., Cook, A., Boswell, R., and the UT-GOM2-1 Expedition Scientists, Proceedings of the UT-GOM2-1 Hydrate Pressure Coring Expedition: Austin, TX (University of Texas Institute for Geophysics, TX). https://dx.doi.org/10.2172/1648308, 27 p.
- Johnson, J.E., MacLeod, D.R., Divins, D.L., 2020. Data Report: UT-GOM2-1 Sediment Grain Size Measurements at Site GC 955, Holes H002 and H005. In Flemings, P.B., Phillips, S.C, Collett, T., Cook, A., Boswell, R., and the UT-GOM2-1 Expedition Scientists, Proceedings of the UT-GOM2-1 Hydrate Pressure Coring Expedition: Austin, TX (University of Texas Institute for Geophysics, TX). http://dx.doi.org/10.2172/1823030, 87 p.
- Johnson, J.E., Divins, D.L., 2020, Data Report: UT-GOM2-1 Lithostratigraphic Core Description Logs at Site GC 955, Holes H002 and H005. In Flemings, P.B., Phillips, S.C, Collett, T., Cook, A., Boswell, R., and the UT-GOM2-1 Expedition Scientists, Proceedings of the UT-GOM2-1 Hydrate Pressure Coring Expedition: Austin, TX (University of Texas Institute for Geophysics, TX)., http://dx.doi.org/10.2172/1823034, 30 p.
- Phillips, I.M., 2018. Data Report: X-Ray Powder Diffraction. In Flemings, P.B., Phillips, S.C, Collett, T., Cook, A., Boswell, R., and the UT-GOM2-1 Expedition Scientists, Proceedings of the UT-GOM2-1 Hydrate Pressure Coring Expedition: Austin, TX (University of Texas Institute for Geophysics, TX). https://dx.doi.org/10.2172/1648320 14 p.
- Purkey Phillips, M., 2020, Data Report: UT-GOM2-1 Biostratigraphy Report Green Canyon Block 955, Gulf of Mexico. In Proceedings of the UT-GOM2-1 Hydrate Pressure Coring Expedition: Austin, TX (University of Texas Institute for Geophysics, TX)., http://dx.doi.org/10.2172/1823039, 15 p.
- Solomon, E.A., Phillips, S.C., 2021, Data Report: Pore Water Geochemistry at Green Canyon 955, deepwater Gulf of Mexico, In Flemings, P.B., Phillips, S.C, Collett, T., Cook, A., Boswell, R., and the UT-GOM2-1 Expedition Scientists, UT-GOM2-1 Hydrate Pressure Coring Expedition Report: Austin, TX (University of Texas Institute for Geophysics, TX), http://dx.doi.org/ 10.2172/1838142, 14 p

## 2.4 Proceeding of the UT-GOM2-2 Hydrate Coring Expedition

Volume contents will be published on the <u>UT-GOM2-2 Expedition Proceedings</u> website and on <u>OSTI.gov</u>.

## 2.4.1 Prospectus

 Peter Flemings, Carla Thomas, Tim Collett, Fredrick Colwell, Ann Cook, John Germaine, Melanie Holland, Jesse Houghton, Joel Johnson, Alberto Malinverno, Kevin Meazell, Tom Pettigrew, Steve Phillips, Alexey Portnov, Aaron Price, Manasij Santra, Peter Schultheiss, Evan Solomon, Kehua You, UT-GOM2-2 Prospectus: Science and Sample Distribution Plan, Austin, TX (University of Texas Institute for Geophysics, TX). <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.2172/1827729</u>, 141 p.

### 2.4.2 Preliminary Report

Peter B. Flemings, Carla Thomas, Stephen C. Phillips, Timothy S. Collett, Ann E. Cook, Evan Solomon, Frederick S. Colwell, Joel E. Johnson, David Awwiller, Irita Aylward, Athma R. Bhandari, Donald Brooks, Alejandro Cardona, Michael Casso, Rachel Coyte, Tom Darrah, Marcy Davis, Brandon Dugan, Dan Duncan, John T. Germaine, Melanie Holland, Jesse Houghton, N. Tanner Mills, Michael Mimitz, Daniel Minarich, Yuki Morono, Zachary Murphy, Joshua O'Connell, Ethan Petrou, Tom Pettigrew, John W. Pohlman, Alexey Portnov, Marcie Purkey Phillips, Thomas Redd, Derek E. Sawyer, Peter Schultheiss, Kelly Shannon, Camille Sullivan, Cathal Small, Kayla Tozier, Man-Yin Tsang, Camila Van Der Maal, William F. Waite, Taylor Walton, 2024, UT-GOM2-2 Preliminary Report Terrebonne Basin Northern Gulf of Mexico, The University of Texas Institute for Geophysics, <a href="https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.13648253">https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.13648253</a>

### 2.5 Websites

• Project Website:

https://ig.utexas.edu/energy/genesis-of-methane-hydrate-in-coarse-grained-systems/

• UT-GOM2-2 Expedition Website

https://ig.utexas.edu/energy/gom2-methane-hydrates-at-the-university-of-texas/gom2-2-expedition/

• UT-GOM2-1 Expedition Website:

https://ig.utexas.edu/energy/genesis-of-methane-hydrate-in-coarse-grained-systems/expedition-ut-gom2-1/

• Project SharePoint:

https://sps.austin.utexas.edu/sites/GEOMech/doehd/teams/

• Methane Hydrate: Fire, Ice, and Huge Quantities of Potential Energy:

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=f1G302BBX9w

• Fueling the Future: The Search for Methane Hydrate:

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=z1dFc-fdah4

• Pressure Coring Tool Development Video:

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=DXseEbKp5Ak&t=154s

### 2.6 Technologies Or Techniques

Nothing to report.

## 2.7 Inventions, Patent Applications, and/or Licenses

Nothing to report.

# 3 CHANGES/PROBLEMS

# 3.1 Changes In Approach And Reasons For Change

None.

## 3.2 Actual Or Anticipated Problems Or Delays And Actions Or Plans To Resolve Them

Budget Period 6 (BP6) is scheduled to end on September 30, 2025. Project leadership has determined that *UT-GOM2-2 Core Analysis* (Task 17.0) and *Project Data Analysis and Reporting* (Task 18.0) cannot be completed within this timeframe due to delays resulting from the 2023 UT-GOM2-2 Hydrate Coring Program. To ensure the successful completion of these critical tasks, we will request a no-cost extension of BP6.

The UT-GOM2-2 Hydrate Coring Program (Task 16.0) was scheduled to occur in early spring 2023. However, the preparation and certification of the Helix *Q4000* multi-service vessel experienced multiple compounding delays. As a result, UT-GOM2-2 field operations did not commence until August 2023 and conclude until September 2023. The resulting schedule shifts have caused cascading impacts affecting the timeline for post-expedition scientific analysis of core samples and data, collaborations, and publications.

Together, Task 17.0 and Task 18.0 represent the final culmination of the of the DE-FE0023919 project, capping 10 years of technology development and scientific research. A no-cost extension of BP6 will help to ensure that Task 17.0 and Task 18.0 are completed to their full measure.

# 3.3 Changes That Have A Significant Impact On Expenditures None.

3.4 Change Of Primary Performance Site Location From That Originally Proposed None.

# **4** SPECIAL REPORTING REQUIREMENTS

### 4.1 Current Project Period

Task 1.0 – Revised Project Management Plan Subtask 18.1 – Project Sample and Data Distribution Plan

Subtask 18.3 – UT-GOM2-2 Scientific Drilling Program Scientific Results Volume

### 4.2 Future Project Periods

None.

# 5 BUDGETARY INFORMATION

### The Budget Period 6 cost summary is provided in Table 5-1.

Baseline Reporting Quarter		Budget Period 6											
		Y1Q1			Y1	LQ2		Y1Q3			Y1Q4		
		11/16/23	-12/31/23	0	1/01/24	4-03/31/24		04/01/24	4-06/30/24	07/01/24		1-09/30/24	
		Y1Q1	Cumulative Total	¥1	LQ2	Cumulative Total		Y1Q3	Cumulative Total		Y1Q4	Cumulative Total	
Baseline Cost Plan													
Federal Share	\$	555 <i>,</i> 325	\$ 71,091,055	\$4	71,086	\$ 71,562,141	\$	456,085	\$ 72,018,226	\$	456,085	\$ 72,474,312	
Non-Federal Share	\$	282,554	\$ 32,363,632	\$2	71,503	\$ 32,635,135	\$	269,534	\$ 32,904,669	\$	269,535	\$ 33,174,204	
Total Planned	\$	837,880	\$ 103,454,687	\$7	42,590	\$ 104,197,276	\$	725,619	\$ 104,922,895	\$	725,620	\$ 105,648,516	
Actual Incurred Cost													
Federal Share	\$	2,871,720	\$ 70,588,076	\$ 3	91,191	\$ 70,979,267	\$	407,450	\$ 71,386,716	\$	370,446	\$ 71,757,162	
Non-Federal Share	\$	745,317	\$ 34,398,513	\$ 1	.52,951	\$ 34,551,464	\$	160,980	\$ 34,712,444	\$	130,874	\$ 34,843,318	
Total Incurred Cost	\$	3,617,037	\$ 104,986,589	\$5	44,142	\$ 105,530,731	\$	568,429	\$ 106,099,160	\$	501,320	\$ 106,600,480	
Variance													
Federal Share	\$	2,316,395	\$ (502,979)	\$ (	(79,895)	\$ (582,875)	\$	(48,636)	\$ (631,510)	\$	(85 <i>,</i> 639)	\$ (717,150)	
Non-Federal Share	\$	462,762	\$ 2,034,882	\$ (1	.18,552)	\$ 1,916,330	\$	(108,554)	\$ 1,807,775	\$	(138,662)	\$ 1,669,114	
Total Variance	Ś	2.779.157	\$ 1.531.902	Ś (1	98,448)	\$ 1,333,455	Ś	(157.190)	\$ 1.176.265	Ś	(224.301)	\$ 951.964	
		, -, -	1 7 7	τ (-	196)116/	¢ 1,000) 100	Ŧ	1 - 7 7	, , ,	Ŧ	(== :)= = = /	7 00-/00	
	,	, -, -		<del>, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , </del>		Budget P	eric	od 6	, , ,	Ŧ	(== !/= = = /	+	
		Y2	2Q1	· · · ·	¥2	Budget P	eric	od 6 Y2	2Q3	, t	Y2	2Q4	
Baseline Reporting Quarter	-	Y2 10/01/24	2Q1 -12/31/24	0	Y2 1/01/25	Budget P 2Q2 -03/31/25	eric	od 6 Y2 04/01/25	2Q3 5-06/30/25		Y2 07/01/25	2Q4 5-09/30/25	
Baseline Reporting Quarter		Y2 10/01/24 Y2Q1	2Q1 -12/31/24 Cumulative Total	0 Y2	Y2 )1/01/25 2Q2	Budget P 2Q2 -03/31/25 Cumulative Total	erio	od 6 Y2 04/01/25 Y2Q3	2Q3 5-06/30/25 Cumulative Total		Y2Q4	2Q4 5-09/30/25 Cumulative Total	
Baseline Reporting Quarter Baseline Cost Plan		Y2 10/01/24 Y2Q1	2Q1 -12/31/24 Cumulative Total	0 Y2	Y2 1/01/25 2Q2	Budget P 2Q2 -03/31/25 Cumulative Total	erio	od 6 Y2 04/01/25 Y2Q3	2Q3 5-06/30/25 Cumulative Total	-	Y2 07/01/25 Y2Q4	2Q4 5-09/30/25 Cumulative Total	
Baseline Reporting Quarter Baseline Cost Plan Federal Share	\$	Y2 10/01/24 Y2Q1 401,106	2Q1 -12/31/24 Cumulative Total \$ 72,875,417	0 Y2 \$ 4	Y2 01/01/25 2Q2	Budget P 2Q2 03/31/25 Cumulative Total \$ 73,276,523	eric \$	od 6 Y2 04/01/25 Y2Q3 385,250	2Q3 5-06/30/25 Cumulative Total \$ 73,661,774	\$	Y2 07/01/25 Y2Q4 385,250	2Q4 -09/30/25 Cumulative Total \$ 74,047,024	
Baseline Reporting Quarter Baseline Cost Plan Federal Share Non-Federal Share	\$	Y2 10/01/24 Y2Q1 401,106 218,494	2Q1 -12/31/24 Cumulative Total \$ 72,875,417 \$ 33,392,698	<b>0</b> <b>72</b> \$ 4 \$ 2	Y2 01/01/25 2Q2 01,106 118,494	Budget P 2Q2 -03/31/25 Cumulative Total \$ 73,276,523 \$ 33,611,191	eric \$	od 6 Y2 04/01/25 Y2Q3 385,250 216,156	2Q3 5-06/30/25 Cumulative Total \$ 73,661,774 \$ 33,827,347	\$	Y2Q4 385,250 216,156	2Q4 -09/30/25 Cumulative Total \$ 74,047,024 \$ 34,043,503	
Baseline Reporting Quarter Baseline Cost Plan Federal Share Non-Federal Share Total Planned	\$	Y2 10/01/24 Y2Q1 401,106 218,494 619,599	2Q1 -12/31/24 Cumulative Total \$ 72,875,417 \$ 33,392,698 \$ 106,268,115	\$ 4 \$ 2 \$ 6	Y2 01/01/25 2Q2 01,106 (18,494 (19,599	Budget P 2Q2 -03/31/25 Cumulative Total \$ 73,276,523 \$ 33,611,191 \$ 106,887,715	eric \$ \$ \$	ad 6 Y2 Y2Q3 385,250 216,156 601,406	2Q3 5-06/30/25 Cumulative Total \$ 73,661,774 \$ 33,827,347 \$ 107,489,121	\$	Y: 07/01/25 Y2Q4 385,250 216,156 601,406	2Q4 -09/30/25 Cumulative Total \$ 74,047,024 \$ 34,043,503 \$ 108,090,527	
Baseline Reporting Quarter Baseline Cost Plan Federal Share Non-Federal Share Total Planned Actual Incurred Cost	\$	Y2 10/01/24 Y2Q1 401,106 218,494 619,599	2Q1 -12/31/24 Cumulative Total \$ 72,875,417 \$ 33,392,698 \$ 106,268,115	\$ 4 \$ 2 \$ 6	Y2 91/01/25 2Q2 401,106 118,494 519,599	Budget P 2Q2 -03/31/25 Cumulative Total \$ 73,276,523 \$ 33,611,191 \$ 106,887,715	¢ ¢	ad 6 Y2 V2Q3 385,250 216,156 601,406	2Q3 5-06/30/25 Cumulative Total \$ 73,661,774 \$ 33,827,347 \$ 107,489,121	\$	Y: 07/01/25 Y2Q4 385,250 216,156 601,406	2Q4 -09/30/25 Cumulative Total \$ 74,047,024 \$ 34,043,503 \$ 108,090,527	
Baseline Reporting Quarter Baseline Cost Plan Federal Share Non-Federal Share Total Planned Actual Incurred Cost Federal Share	\$ \$ \$	Y2 10/01/24 Y2Q1 401,106 218,494 619,599 512,470	2Q1 -12/31/24 Cumulative Total \$ 72,875,417 \$ 33,392,698 \$ 106,268,115 \$ 72,269,632	\$ 4 \$ 2 \$ 6 \$ 6	Y2 91/01/25 2Q2 001,106 118,494 319,599	Budget P 2Q2 -03/31/25 Cumulative Total \$ 73,276,523 \$ 33,611,191 \$ 106,887,715 \$ 72,914,406	¢ ¢	od 6 Y2 04/01/25 Y2Q3 385,250 216,156 601,406	2Q3 5-06/30/25 Cumulative Total \$ 73,661,774 \$ 33,827,347 \$ 107,489,121 \$ 72,914,406	\$ \$ \$	Y2Q4 385,250 216,156 601,406	2Q4 -09/30/25 Cumulative Total \$ 74,047,024 \$ 34,043,503 \$ 108,090,527 \$ 72,914,406	
Baseline Reporting Quarter Baseline Cost Plan Federal Share Non-Federal Share Total Planned Actual Incurred Cost Federal Share Non-Federal Share	\$ \$ \$	Y2Q1 401,106 218,494 619,599 512,470 153,103	2Q1 -12/31/24 Cumulative Total \$ 72,875,417 \$ 33,392,698 \$ 106,268,115 \$ 72,269,632 \$ 34,996,421	\$ 4 \$ 2 \$ 6 \$ 1	Y2 11/01/25 2Q2 01,106 118,494 119,599 644,774 16,351	Budget P Budget P 2Q2 -03/31/25 Cumulative Total \$ 73,276,523 \$ 33,611,191 \$ 106,887,715 \$ 72,914,406 \$ 35,112,772	s \$ \$	od 6 Y2 04/01/25 Y2Q3 385,250 216,156 601,406	2Q3 5-06/30/25 Cumulative Total \$ 73,661,774 \$ 33,827,347 \$ 107,489,121 \$ 72,914,406 \$ 35,112,772	\$ \$ \$	Y: 07/01/25 Y2Q4 385,250 216,156 601,406	2Q4 -09/30/25 Cumulative Total \$ 74,047,024 \$ 34,043,503 \$ 108,090,527 \$ 72,914,406 \$ 35,112,772	
Baseline Reporting Quarter Baseline Cost Plan Federal Share Non-Federal Share Total Planned Actual Incurred Cost Federal Share Non-Federal Share Total Incurred Cost	\$ \$ \$ \$	Y2 10/01/24 Y2Q1 401,106 218,494 619,599 512,470 153,103 665,573	2Q1 -12/31/24 Cumulative Total \$ 72,875,417 \$ 33,392,698 \$ 106,268,115 \$ 72,269,632 \$ 34,996,421 \$ 107,266,053	\$ 4 \$ 2 \$ 6 \$ 6 \$ 1 \$ 7	Y2 11/01/25 2Q2 101,106 118,494 119,599 144,774 16,351 161,125	Budget P Budget P 2Q2 -03/31/25 Cumulative Total \$ 73,276,523 \$ 33,611,191 \$ 106,887,715 \$ 72,914,406 \$ 35,112,772 \$ 108,027,178	\$ \$ \$	od 6 Y2 04/01/25 Y2Q3 385,250 216,156 601,406	2Q3 5-06/30/25 Cumulative Total \$ 73,661,774 \$ 33,827,347 \$ 107,489,121 \$ 72,914,406 \$ 35,112,772 \$ 108,027,178	\$	Y: 07/01/25 Y2Q4 385,250 216,156 601,406	2Q4 -09/30/25 Cumulative Total \$ 74,047,024 \$ 34,043,503 \$ 108,090,527 \$ 72,914,406 \$ 35,112,772 \$ 108,027,178	
Baseline Reporting Quarter Baseline Cost Plan Federal Share Non-Federal Share Total Planned Actual Incurred Cost Federal Share Non-Federal Share Total Incurred Cost Variance	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$	Y2Q1 401,106 218,494 619,599 512,470 153,103 665,573	2Q1 -12/31/24 Cumulative Total \$ 72,875,417 \$ 33,392,698 \$ 106,268,115 \$ 72,269,632 \$ 34,996,421 \$ 107,266,053	\$ 4 \$ 2 \$ 6 \$ 6 \$ 1 \$ 7	Y2 91/01/25 2Q2 001,106 118,494 319,599 344,774 16,351 361,125	Budget P 2Q2 -03/31/25 Cumulative Total \$ 73,276,523 \$ 33,611,191 \$ 106,887,715 \$ 72,914,406 \$ 35,112,772 \$ 108,027,178	\$ \$ \$ \$	od 6 Y2 04/01/25 Y2Q3 385,250 216,156 601,406 -	2Q3 5-06/30/25 Cumulative Total \$ 73,661,774 \$ 33,827,347 \$ 107,489,121 \$ 72,914,406 \$ 35,112,772 \$ 108,027,178	\$\$	Y2Q4 385,250 216,156 601,406	2Q4 -09/30/25 Cumulative Total \$ 74,047,024 \$ 34,043,503 \$ 108,090,527 \$ 72,914,406 \$ 35,112,772 \$ 108,027,178	
Baseline Reporting Quarter Baseline Cost Plan Federal Share Non-Federal Share Total Planned Actual Incurred Cost Federal Share Non-Federal Share Total Incurred Cost Variance Federal Share Federal Share	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	Y2 10/01/24 Y2Q1 401,106 218,494 619,599 512,470 153,103 665,573 111,364	2Q1 -12/31/24 Cumulative Total \$ 72,875,417 \$ 33,392,698 \$ 106,268,115 \$ 72,269,632 \$ 34,996,421 \$ 107,266,053 \$ (605,786)	\$ 4 \$ 2 \$ 6 \$ 1 \$ 7 \$ 7 \$ 2	Y2 11/01/25 2Q2 101,106 118,494 19,599 444,774 16,351 161,125 143,668	Budget P 2Q2 -03/31/25 Cumulative Total \$ 73,276,523 \$ 33,611,191 \$ 106,887,715 \$ 72,914,406 \$ 35,112,772 \$ 108,027,178 \$ (362,118)	\$ \$ \$	od 6 Y2 04/01/25 Y2Q3 385,250 216,156 601,406 -	2Q3 5-06/30/25 Cumulative Total \$ 73,661,774 \$ 33,827,347 \$ 107,489,121 \$ 72,914,406 \$ 35,112,772 \$ 108,027,178	\$	Y: 07/01/25 Y2Q4 385,250 216,156 601,406	2Q4 -09/30/25 Cumulative Total \$ 74,047,024 \$ 34,043,503 \$ 108,090,527 \$ 72,914,406 \$ 35,112,772 \$ 108,027,178	
Baseline Reporting Quarter Baseline Cost Plan Federal Share Non-Federal Share Total Planned Actual Incurred Cost Federal Share Non-Federal Share Total Incurred Cost Variance Federal Share Non-Federal Share Non-Federal Share	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	Y2Q1 401,106 218,494 619,599 512,470 153,103 665,573 111,364 (65,390)	2Q1 -12/31/24 Cumulative Total \$ 72,875,417 \$ 33,392,698 \$ 106,268,115 \$ 72,269,632 \$ 34,996,421 \$ 107,266,053 \$ (605,786) \$ 1,603,723	\$ 4 \$ 2 \$ 6 \$ 1 \$ 7 \$ 7 \$ 2 \$ (1	Y2 1/01/25 2Q2 101,106 18,494 19,599 444,774 16,351 161,125 143,668 02,142)	Budget P Budget P 2Q2 -03/31/25 Cumulative Total \$ 73,276,523 \$ 33,611,191 \$ 106,887,715 \$ 72,914,406 \$ 35,112,772 \$ 108,027,178 \$ (362,118) \$ 1,501,581	¢ric \$ \$ \$	od 6 Y2 04/01/25 Y2Q3 385,250 216,156 601,406 -	2Q3 5-06/30/25 Cumulative Total \$ 73,661,774 \$ 33,827,347 \$ 107,489,121 \$ 72,914,406 \$ 35,112,772 \$ 108,027,178	\$	Y: 07/01/25 Y2Q4 385,250 216,156 601,406	2Q4 -09/30/25 Cumulative Total \$ 74,047,024 \$ 34,043,503 \$ 108,090,527 \$ 72,914,406 \$ 35,112,772 \$ 108,027,178	

Table 5-1. Phase 6 / Budget Period 6 Cost Profile

# 6 ACRONYMS

#### Table 6-1. List of Acronyms

ACRONYM	DEFINITION
AAPG	American Association of Petroleum Geologists
AGU	American Geophysical Union
AOM	Anaerobic Oxidation of Methane
BOEM	Bureau of Ocean Energy Management
BSR	Bottom-Simulating Reflector
BSEE	Bureau of Safety and Environmental Enforcement
СРР	Complimentary Project Proposal
СТ	Computed Tomography
DNA	Deoxyribonucleic Acid
DOE	U.S. Department of Energy
GC	Green Canyon
ICP-MS	Inductively Coupled Plasma Mass Spectrometry
IODP	International Ocean Discovery Program
LWD	Logging While Drilling
NEPA	National Environmental Policy Act
NETL	National Energy Technology Laboratory
NMT	New Mexico Tech
OSR	Organoclastic Sulfate Reduction
OSTI	Office of Scientific and Technical Information
OSU	The Ohio State University
PCATS	Pressure Core Analysis and Transfer System
РСС	Pressure Core Center
РСТВ	Pressure Core Tool with Ball Valve
PI	Principle Investigator
PM	Project Manager
РМР	Project Management Plan
PMRS	Pressure Maintenance and Relief System
QRPPR	Quarterly Research Performance and Progress Report
RPPR	Research Performance and Progress Report
SEM	Scanning Electron Microscope
SOPO	Statement of Project Objectives
UNH	University of New Hampshire
USGS	United States Geological Survey
UT	University of Texas at Austin
UW	University of Washington
WR	Walker Ridge
ХСТ	X-ray Computed Tomography

### National Energy Technology Laboratory

626 Cochrans Mill Road P.O. Box 10940 Pittsburgh, PA 15236-0940

3610 Collins Ferry Road P.O. Box 880 Morgantown, WV 26507-0880

13131 Dairy Ashford Road, Suite 225 Sugar Land, TX 77478

1450 Queen Avenue SW Albany, OR 97321-2198

Arctic Energy Office 420 L Street, Suite 305 Anchorage, AK 99501

Visit the NETL website at: www.netl.doe.gov

Customer Service Line: 1-800-553-7681



U.S. DEPARTMENT OF NATIONAL ENERGY TECHNOLOGY LABORATORY